Index

'Note: Page numbers followed by "f" indicate figures and "t" indicate tables.'

A Academic caste system, 109 Academic faculty role, 4 civil positions, 15–16 contemporary faculty responsibilities, 17–18 cultural and communal awareness, 17 full-time and tenure-track faculty, 17–18 in-class teaching, 16 Morrill Act, 16	social identity theory, 30 Whitchurch's system, 30–31, 145t Faculty/librarian relationships, 20 Faculty/nontenure-track librarian, 4 Faculty research, 1–2 Female academic librarians, 9, 142 Female faculty and administration, 27–29 Four-tiered frame, 65
political authority, 17 research–instruction–service model, 15 Academic professionalism, 3 American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 16	G Gap-shrinking opportunity, 88–89 Gender-based inequities, 11
American Library Association, 3–4, 19, 141–142 Association of College and Research Libraries, 24–25	H Higher education-based model, 10 Higher education, women, 26–27
B Blended professional model, 141, 144	I In-class learning, 8, 18 Institutional Review Board, 43
C Circulation staff, 1 COACHE study, 7 D Dichotomy concept, 2 Doctoral-level terminal degree, 3	K Knowledges disciplinary fields, 76–77 personal specialty knowledge, 72 resources evaluation, 72–74 social language, 75–76 speaking the language, 74–75
E Educational learning, 19 Education-based frame and theory, 12 F Faculty identity and historical narrative critique full-semester instruction, 29 nontenure-track faculty, 29	L Legitimacies knowledges, 85–86 management responsibilities, 83 office spaces, 83–85 status quo, 86–88 university community, 88 Librarian Assistant (LA), 1 Library science degree, 141

154 Index

M	service-based orientation, 21
Managed professional identity theories,	tenure-track members, 23
30–31	Professional success
Managed professionals, 9	gender
Methodological avenues	age and appearance, 102–105
blended professional identities, 47	family, 106–108
contemporary context, 40	female librarians, 109
data analysis, 44–45	individual librarians, 108
higher education-oriented model, 39	ingrained learning, 105–106
institution nature, 48	perception, 94
instructional responsibilities, 40	third space, 102
librarian classification, 47–48	management counsel, 101
library-based analyses, 39	money, 99–101
participants identification and recruitment,	organization
145t, 147–149	academic caste system, 109
field notes, 44	communication, 109–110
Institutional Review Board, 43	differentiation and integration, 111–114
liaison librarians, 41	limitations, 109
libraries and campus, 42, 42t	personnel use, 114–116
qualitative interviews, 43	recognition and advancement, 110-111
qualitative research, 39–40	professional development, 93-94, 94f
researcher's role and limitations, 45–47	revision process, 93
Morrill Land Grant Act, 18–19	tenure-track faculty, 95
	tenure-track-like research responsibilities,
N	116
	time
Nonlibrary professionals, 80–81 Nontenure-track librarian, 4	activity, 40-hour workweek spent, 98,
	99t
Nontraditional/nontenured roles, 7–8	Fitbit fitness tracker, 98
	in-class instruction time, 96
0	instruction assignments, 98
On-the-job learning, 54	reference consultation time, 97
	resources and generic information, 96
P	tenure-track counterpart level, 99
Paraprofessionals, 1	tenure-track faculty instruction, 96
Perception vs. actuality	university community, 95
academic faculty, 20–21	Western Political Theory, 96–97
acceptance rates and impact factors,	
23, 24t	Q
Association of College and Research	Qualitative data interpretation, 65
Libraries, 24–25	Qualitative interviews and field research,
decision-making process, 25	65, 66t
faculty role, 21	
institutional service, 24	R
mixed responsibilities, 22–23	Relationships
personal professional identities, 25–26	colleagues, 79–80
quality assurance, 23–24	faculty, 81–82
scholarly frame, 25	managerial perspective, 77–79

Index 155

nonlibrary professionals, 80–81 professional relationships, 77 stakeholders, 83 students and classified staff, 82 third space, 77 Research and academic productivity, 2 Research—instruction—service model, 15 Research model university, 18 Research productivity quality, 141–142 Resolutions conceptualization shaping, 122 cross-training skills, 122 educational process, 119–120 executive levels, 130 female-dominated careers, 124 full-faculty status, 119 gender, 123, 123f, 132–134 organizational structure factors, 130–131 higher education-focused model, 120 knowledges, 127–128. See also Knowledges legitimacies, 129–130. See also Legitimacies liaison-level librarians, 124–125 money, 131–132 noninstructional faculty/student, 120 nontenure-track/traditional faculty members, 121 organization, 135–137 positive facets, 126 professional identities, 122 relationships, 128–129 role and professional identities, 125 spaces, 127 tenure-track faculty, 119 time, 131	Social-cultural environment, 5 Spaces contributing factors, 66 geography, 67–69 in-class learning, 66 stigma and misunderstanding, 69–70 technology and, 71–72 third space, 66 T Tenure-track faculty, 4, 7, 61, 61t, 89 high-level research projects, 50 instruction constructive criticism, 54 data smog, 53 "guest lecturer" and collaborative individual, 57 in-depth student-librarian relationships, 58 instructional abilities, 53 one-shot library instruction session, 55–56 on-the-job learning, 54 pedagogical training, 54 speak the language, 56 style and delivery, 55 interview responses, 51 knowledge and resource acquisition, 52 librarian position, 15 library-oriented research, 50 library science degree, 52 qualitative and quantitative projects, 51 service, 58–61 tenure-track lite, 49 Third space concept, 10, 88–89
university community, 123 Whitchurch's model, 121	U Upper-level administration
S	positions, 142
	W
Self-identity theory, 30–31 Self-reflection and analysis, 12	Western Political Theory, 96–97
Service-minded staff, 143	Whitchurch's model, 9, 143