Q EVIDENCE SYNOPSIS

Title: Benefits and Harms of Immunization Against Typhoid Fever in Travelers Who

Have Not Been Previously Exposed

Date completed: April 23, 2015

Authors: Steven Lascher, DVM, PhD, MPH and David R. Goldmann, MD

Clinical question: What are the benefits and harms of immunization against typhoid

fever in travelers who have not been previously exposed?

Author recommendations:

For adult travelers who have never been exposed to typhoid fever, prior to vaccinating, clinicians should assess the risk of typhoid fever by travel destination, purpose of travel, and exposure to unsanitary conditions or poor quality drinking water and food.

If clinicians choose to vaccinate, they may administer either of the two available vaccines, with the choice based on the individual traveler's characteristics, as both are reasonably and equally—but not completely—effective. Adverse events are infrequent, generally mild, and self-limiting.

Evidence and recommendations:

Quality of Evidence ^a	Strength of Recommendations ^b	Conclusion
Moderate	Strong	Evidence favors typhoid fever risk mitigation strategies for high-risk travelers to typhoid fever-endemic areas, including vaccination and dietary and hygiene precautions
		nendation. For more information on the

What are the parameters of our evidence search?

Population	Healthy adults 18 years of age or older with no exposure or past immunization to typhoid fever traveling to areas where the disease is endemic (developing countries)
Intervention	Oral typhoid vaccine (Ty21a strain), parenteral Vi polysaccharide vaccine, Vi-rEPIA, oral M01ZH09 vaccine, or older whole-cell vaccine, with or without food precautions; time before travel
Comparator	No immunization against typhoid or placebo; food precautions only
Primary outcome(s)	Incident typhoid fever with positive blood, urine, or stool culture or positive Widal test; harms of vaccines

What is the basis for our conclusion(s)?

Patients or population: Healthy adults 18 years of age or older with no exposure or past immunization to typhoid fever traveling to areas where the disease is endemic (developing countries)

Intervention: Oral typhoid vaccine (Ty21a strain), parenteral Vi polysaccharide vaccine, Vi-rEPIA, oral M01ZH09 vaccine, or older whole-cell vaccine, with or without food precautions; time before travel

Comparison: No immunization against typhoid or placebo; food precautions only

Outcome: Incident typhoid fever with positive blood, urine, or stool culture or positive Widal test; harms of vaccines

Setting: Various destination countries where typhoid fever is endemic: areas of Eastern and Southern Europe, the African continent, Asia (excluding Japan), Central and South America, the Middle East, and the Caribbean

_
~
ပ
_
耍
Efficienc
ပ
Ħ
*
ш
-
9
=
accine
\sim
9
_co
>
-
=
æ
3Ve
e
ш
_
0
-=
\simeq
phodo
0
>
-
-
_
-
111
_
=
ABLE

			ution stry years years rtion
			ily 5% tite at 1 year noe gener; v-up; indu at 3 come at 3 come at 3 come; indu
			proximate and 50% some source of follows and source of the follows are source of the follows to follow of the follows to follows.
	INE)		anation. Ap 28 days and frand rential los to frand to frand to frandcrential los rential los rential los rential los rential los rential los roe
	er Basel		ce to the post-vacci obst-vacci or
	Y TITER (OVE	Comment	No difference At day 14 post-vaccination. Approximately 5% titer reduction for both at 28 days and 50% at 1 year Risk of bias: no report of random sequence generation or concealment; differential loss to follow-up; industry involvement Netherlands and France No difference Subgroups revaccinated at 3 years. Outcome at 3 years + 28 days Risk ob biass: no report of random sequence generation or concealment; differential loss to follow-up; industry involvement
	IN ANTIBOD	Quality of Evidence (GRADE)	гом
	CREASE	Q (1)	
	OUTCOME: PROPORTION OF PARTICIPANTS ATTAINING A FOUR-FOLD INCREASE IN ANTIBODY TITER (OVER BASELINE)	Risk Difference Estimate (95% CI)	0.001 (-0.065, 0.066) -0.025 (-0.20, 0.17)
	AINING A F	Comparator Probability of Success (Sufficiently Protective Titer) Estimate (95% Cl) n	0.894 (0.84, 0.93) 176 0.698 0.698 43
	NTS ATT	Comp Proba of Suc (Suffic Protec Titer) Estim: (95%	0.894 (0.84, 176 176 0.698 (0.54, 43
	ARTICIPA	Intervention Probability of Success (Sufficiently Protective Titer) Estimate (95% CI) n	0.893 (0.84, 0.93) 177 0.673 (0.54, 0.80) 56
	ION OF P	Interv Proba of Sud (Suffic Protec Titer) Estim (95%	0.893 (0.84, 177 177 0.673 (0.54, 56
6	PROPORT	ator 3)	Monovalent vaccines for hepatitis A and ViCPS for typhoid Female 58.6% Age 29.2 (±10.5) Monovalent vaccines for hepatitis A and ViCPS for typhoid
formation of the second format	UTCOME: F	Comparato (Regime) Sex Age	Monovalent vaccines for hepatitis A and ViCPS for typhoid Female 58,6% Age 29.2 (±10 Monovalent vaccines for hepatitis A and ViCPS for typhoid
	0		+
) burner			ppatitis A - vaccine— % (005) patitis A - patitis A - vaccine— vaccine—
		Study (Year) Design Intervention Sex Age	Overbosch (2005)¹ RCT Combined hepatitis A + yphoid fever vaccine—ViCPS Female 61.5% Age 29.3 (±10) years Overbosch (2005)¹ RCT Combined hepatitis A + yphoid fever vaccine—ViCPS
		Study (Year) Design Interv Sex Age	Overl RCT Com typh Fem; Age Overl RCT Coml

Sanofi- Aventis (2014) ²	NA A	0.92	NA A	NA	Unclear	Geometric mean antibody titers increased from baseline
Prospective pre-post		(0.87, 0.95)				of 6.6 (5.8, 7.4) to 148.6 (126.9, 174.0) at 28 days
Typhoid Typhoid ViCPS		188				The quality of evidence is unclear, as the study
Adults (single 0.5-mL dose)						description is minimal on clinicaltrials.gov
Female 38.3%						Industry-sponsored, -designed, and -run
Age 37.2 (±11.4) years						Japan
Adolescents (single 0.5-mL	ΝΑ	0.86	NA	NA	Unclear	Geometric mean antibody titers increased from baseline
dose)		(0.42, 1.0)				of 10.2 (2.9, 35.9) to 320.0 (230.6, 442.2) at 28 days
Female 43%						The quality of evidence is unclear, as the study
Age 15.6 (±2.0)						description is minimal on clinicaltrials.gov
						Industry-sponsored, -designed and -run
						Japan
Children (single 0.5-mL dose)	ΑΝ	1.0	NA	NA	Unclear	Geometric mean antibody titers increased from baseline
Female 20%		(0.48, 1.0)				of 3.7 (NA, NA) ^a to 501.7 (305.3, 824.5) at 28 days
Age 5.2 (±3.8) years		വ				The quality of evidence is unclear, as the study
						description is minimal on clinicaltrials.gov
						Industry-sponsored, -designed and -run
						Japan
"The 95% CI was not calculated, as the titer values are less than the lower level of quantitation. Of, Confidence interval; MA, not applicable; RCT, randomized controlled tral; WGPS, VI capsular polysaccharide vaccine.	the titer values are cable; RCT, randon	less than the lower level of quinized controlled trial; WCPS, Vi	antitation. capsular polysaco	charide vaccine.		

EVIDENCE SYNOPSIS

e30

Outcome: Proportion of typhoid fever patients who had been vaccinated within 5 years or less

Study (Year) Design Cases Sex Age	Controls (Regime) Sex Age	Cases Proportion of Typhoid Fever Cases Previously Vaccinated Estimate (95% CI) Cases/ Vaccinated	Controls Proportion of Paratyphoid Cases Previously Vaccinate (95% C) Cases/ Vaccinated	Odds Ratio (95% CI) Vaccine Effectiveness Estimate (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence (GRADE)	Comment
Mahon B (2014)³ Case-control (adjusted) Typhoid fever cases Female 48% Age 23 (2-86) median (range)	Paratyphoid A cases Age 25 (2-74) median (range) Female 43%	0.05 (29/602)*	0.20 (29/142)*	Odds ratio 0.20 (0.10, 0.36) Vaccine effectiveness 0.80 (0.66, 0.89)	Гом	Compared vaccination rates in cases with vaccination status (within 5 years of illness) and estimated typhoid vaccine effectiveness as (1-0R) × 100%. Risk of bias: some cases may have had pre-existing infection, and others have gone undiagnosed and unreported.
Wagner K (2014)⁴ (Case-control) Typhoid fever cases Fernale 47% Age 25 (2-83) mean (range)	Paratyphoid A cases	0.18 (118/640)	0.40 (247/616)	Odds ratio 0.34 (0.26, 0.44) Vaccine effectiveness (adjusted) 0.65 (0.53, 0.73)	Low	Compared vaccination rates in cases with vaccination status (within 3 years of illness) and estimated typhoid vaccine effectiveness Risk of bias: well-conducted case-control study Statistically significant reduction in vaccine effectiveness at 1-2 years post-vaccine from 0.72 (0.61, 0.80) to 0.37 (-0.12, 0.65)
"Of all registered cases of typhoid fever (609), 29 were vaccinated. "Of all registered cases of paratyphoid A fever (142), 29 were vaccinated C2, Confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.	phoid fever (609), 29 we aratyphoid A fever (142), odds ratio.	re vaccinated. 29 were vaccinated.				

EVIDENCE SYNOPSIS

Outcome: Typhoid fever vaccine efficiency with concomitant travel vaccines; measured by 29-day post-vaccination geometric mean concentrations and geometric mean titers of typhoid fever antibodies

Study (Year) Design Intervention Sex Age	Comparator (Regime) Sex Age	Intervention Geometric Mean Concentration or Geometric Mean Titer Estimate (95% CI) N	Comparator Geometric Mean Concentration or Geometric Mean Titer Estimate (95% CI) N	Vaccine Group Ratio Comparator: Intervention Estimate (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence (GRADE)	Comment
Alberer M (2015) ⁵ RCT ViOPS + yellow fever vaccine (live, attenuated) Female 44% 36.5 (±10.8) years	Typhoid fever ViCPS + live attenuated yellow fever + quadrivalent meningococcal glycoconjugate ACWY-CRM vaccine Female 44%	Typhoid fever GMC 134 (101, 174) 99 Yellow fever GMT 5244 (3929, 7000) 100	Typhoid fever GMC 153 (118, 197) 99 Yellow fever GMT 5022 (3754, 6717) 100	Typhoid fever 1.14 (0.81, 1.60) Yellow fever 0.96 (0.65, 1.41)	High	Non-inferiority study Protective immunogenicity of monovalent typhoid and/or yellow fever vaccines is not compromised when given in combination with meningococcal vaccine
All comparative risks are re Because of rounding, the in ACWY-CRM reflects the qua	All comparative risks are reported as risk differences (RD) with 97.5 1-sided % confidence intervals. Studies in bold font have statistically significant differences in probability of prevention. Because of rounding, the intervention and comparator numbers may not add up to the actual reported risk difference. ACMY-CRAM reflects the quadrivalent (A. C., W-1.35, and Y secronous) conjugated to CRM _{**} , a nontroic mutant of diptriberia toxin as a carrier protein.	97.5 1-sided % confidence interval may not add up to the actual repo	All comparative risks are reported as risk differences (RD) with 97.5 1-sided % confidence intervals. Studies in bold forth have statistically significant di Because of rounding, the intervention and comparator numbers may not add up to the actual reported risk difference. AQWY-CRM reflexes the quadrivalent A. C. W-135, and Y serocorous conjudated to CRM _{1-s} , a nontrock mitant of dipritheria toxin as a carrier protein	ally significant difference a carrier protein,	s in probability of prev	antion.

C). Confidence interval. (ACC geometric mean concentrations, GMT, geometric mean interval.)

		SANOFI-AVENTIS ²	Adolescents	(N = 188) $(N = 7)$		
			Adults	(N = 188)		
			Combined	Hepatitis Hepatitis	A + VICPS	Revaccination
	I GROUPS	CH D7	Combined	Hepatitis	A + VICPS	(N = 176)
	TUDY AND INTERVENTION GROUPS	OVERBOSCH D7	Hepatitis	A / ViCPS	Re-vaccination	(N = 43)
	STUDY		Hepatitis	A / ViCPS	Mono-	Components
			Meningococcal	Vaccine Alone	(N = 100)	
		ALBERER M ⁶	Typhoid and	Yellow Fever	Monovalents +	Meningococcal
Events			Typhoid and	Yellow	Fever	Monovalents
TABLE 2 Adverse Events	Adverse Event					

					2		,		
Typhoid and	Typhoid and	Meningococcal	Hepatitis	Hepatitis	Combined	Combined	Adults	Adolescents	Childre
Yellow	Yellow Fever	Vaccine Alone	A / VICPS	A / VICPS	Hepatitis	Hepatitis	(N = 188)	(N = 7)	(N = 6)
Fever	Monovalents +	(N = 100)	Mono-	Re-vaccination	A + ViCPS	A + ViCPS			
Monovalents	Meningococcal		Components	(N = 43)	(N = 176)	Revaccination			
(N = 101)	Vaccine		(N = 179)			(N = 53) C			
	(N = 100)								

(N = 1		G L	(N = 179)	
Revac	(N = 176)	(N = 43)	Components	
A + V	A + ViCPS	Re-vaccination	Mono-	= 100)
Hepat	Hepatitis	A / ViCPS	A / ViCPS	cine Alone
Comb	Combined	Hepatitis	Hepatitis	ingococcal

Children (N = 6)
Adolescents $(N = 7)$
Adults (N = 188)
Combined Hepatitis A + ViCPS Revaccination (N = 53) C
Combined Hepatitis A + ViCPS (N = 176)
Hepatitis A / ViCPS Re-vaccination (N = 43)
Hepatitis A / ViCPS Mono- Components (N = 179)
Meningococcal Vaccine Alone (N = 100)
Typhoid and Yellow Fever Monovalents + Meningococcal Vaccine (N = 100)
Typhoid and Yellow Fever Monovalents (N = 101)

alla	i ypiioid aiid	Melilligococcal	nepallis	nepallis	nallinino	nallinino	Addits	Adolescellis	5
	Yellow Fever	Vaccine Alone	A / VICPS	A / ViCPS	Hepatitis	Hepatitis	(N = 188)	(N = 7)	ž
	Monovalents +	(N = 100)	Mono-	Re-vaccination	A + VICPS	A + VICPS A + VICPS			
lents	Meningococcal		Components	(N = 43)	(N = 176)	Revaccination			
Ē	Vaccine		(N = 179)			(N = 53) C			
	(N = 100)								
	3%	3%	2.8%	28%	4 5%	17%	0	0	17%

(N = 6)	170/
N = 188) $(N = 7)$	c
(N = 188)	c
Hepatitis A + ViCPS Revaccination (N = 53) C	170/
Hepatitis $A + ViCPS$ ($N = 176$)	7 50/
A / VICPS Re-vaccination (N = 43)	/000
A / ViCPS Mono- Components (N = 179)	/00 C
Vaccine Alone (N = 100)	/00
Yellow Fever Monovalents + Meningococcal Vaccine (N = 100)	/00
Yellow Fever Monovalents (N = 101)	/00
	9

(N = 6)	17%
(N = 188) $(N = 7)$	0
(N = 188)	0
Hepatitis Hepatitis A + ViCPS A + ViCPS (N = 176) Revaccination (N = 53) C	17%
Hepatitis $A + ViCPS$ ($N = 176$)	4.5%
A / ViCPS Re-vaccination (N = 43)	28%
A / ViCPS Mono- Components (N = 179)	2.8%
Vaccine Alone (N = 100)	3%
Yellow Fever Monovalents + Meningococcal Vaccine (N = 100)	3%
Yellow Fever Monovalents (N = 101)	2%
	yere

6	
	17%
	0
(201 – 10)	0
A + ViCPS Revaccination (N = 53) C	17% (9)
A + ViCPS (N = 176)	4.5%
Re-vaccination (N = 43)	28% (12)
Mono- Components (N = 179)	2.8% (5)
(N = 100)	3%
Monovalents + Meningococcal Vaccine (N = 100)	3% (3)
Fever Monovalents (N = 101)	2% (2)
	Serious or severe adverse event ^a

- (5) 84% (150) <u>(C)</u>

- - (1) 50% (3) NR 17% (1) (1) (1) (1) Æ (9) (9) £ 띩 0 7.4% (14) 74% (139) R ¥ 16% (30) 1% (9) 15.7% (8) 5.6% 8% (4) 0 6% (3) (2) (27) (27) 16.9% (30) 2.8% (5) (6) 4.5% (8) 92.1% (162) (12) 56% (24) 0 2%

(24) 19.4% (35) 5% (9) 3.3% (6)

Æ

Æ

爰

Diarrhea

1-8% across groups

Malaise, influenzalike illness, or nasopharyngitis

Æ

£

£

4-8% across groups

Headache (mild, or enythema moderate, or Asthenia

severe)

(2) (3) 2-12% across groups

Injection-site pain, edema, induration,

^aAs reported in the studies. *NiCPS*, Vi capsular polysaccharide.

Æ

Æ

£

Guidelines: Newton A, et al. The 2014 Yellow Book; Chapter 3: Infectious Diseases Related to Travel: Typhoid Fever. (AGREE II Score: unavailable) There is no evidence or strength of recommendations ratings or methods described.

- Vaccinate travelers who are traveling to areas of increased risk of exposure to Salmonella
 enterica serotype Typhi. The two vaccines available in the United States are an oral live
 attenuated vaccine (Vivotif®) and an intramuscular capsular polysaccharide vaccine,
 Typhim Vi®.
- The oral vaccine requires a booster every 5 years, and the intramuscular product requires a booster every 2 years.
- Adverse reactions to Ty21a vaccine are rare and mainly consist of abdominal discomfort, nausea, vomiting, and rash. ViCPS vaccine is most often associated with headache (16-20%) and injection-site reactions (7%).

International Travel and Health: Typhoid Fever. Chapter 6. World Health Organization, 2012. (AGREE II Score: unavailable) There are no evidence or strength of recommendations ratings or methods described.

- A combination hepatitis A/typhoid (ViCPS) vaccine, administered as a single dose, confers high levels of protection against both of these water-borne diseases.
- The risk for travelers is generally low, except in parts of northern and western Africa, in southern Asia, in parts of Indonesia, and in Peru. Elsewhere, travelers are usually at risk only when exposed to low standards of hygiene. Even vaccinated travelers should take care to avoid potentially contaminated food and water, as the vaccine does not confer 100% protection.
- Both typhoid vaccines are safe, and there are no contraindications to their use other than previous severe hypersensitivity reactions to vaccine components. Proguanil, mefloquine, and antibiotics should be stopped from 3 days before until 3 days after the administration of Ty21a. These vaccines are not recommended for use in infant immunization programs due to insufficient information on their efficacy in children under 2 years of age.
- There are two vaccines available: (1) The oral vaccine based on the live, attenuated mutant strain of Salmonella typhi Ty21a (Ty21a vaccine) is supplied in enteric coated capsules. In Australia and Europe, three tablets are given on days 1, 3, and 5; this series is repeated every year for individuals traveling from non-endemic to endemic countries and every 3 years for individuals living in countries or areas at risk. In North America, four tablets are given on days 1, 3, 5, and 7, and revaccination is recommended only after 7 years (Canada) or 5 years (United States) for all, regardless of typhoid fever risk in the country or area of residence. The duration of protection following Ty21a immunization is not well defined and may vary with vaccine dose and possibly with subsequent exposures to S. typhii (natural booster).
- (2) The injectable Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine (ViCPS vaccine) is given intramuscularly in a single dose. Protection is induced about 7 days after the injection. In countries or areas at risk, the protective efficacy 1.5 years after vaccination is about 72%; after 3 years it is about 50%. The vaccine is licensed for individuals aged >2 years. To maintain protection, revaccination is recommended every 3 years.

Hill DR, Ericsson CD, Pearson RD, et al. The Practice of Travel Medicine: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, 2006. 10 (AGREE II Score: unavailable) Infectious Diseases Society of America-United States Public Health Service grading system for ranking recommendations in clinical guidelines.

- Typhoid fever should be administered on the basis of a risk assessment. (Strength of
 recommendation, A; good evidence to support a recommendation for use. Quality of
 evidence III; evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.)
- Travelers to the Indian subcontinent, particularly those visiting friends and relatives, are
 at greatest risk. Immunization is indicated when traveling to destinations with poorquality sanitation and hygiene and endemic areas. In addition to the Indian subcontinent,
 endemic areas include Central and South America, Asia, and Africa.

Johnson KJ, Gallagher NM, Mintz ED, Newton AE, Brunette GW, Kozarsky PE. New country-specific recommendations for pre-travel typhoid vaccinations from the CDC, 2011. (AGREE II Score: unavailable) Infectious Diseases Society of America–United States Public Health Service grading system for ranking recommendations in clinical guidelines.

- Twenty-six countries were downgraded to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention low-risk category.
- The change in recommendations for 26 Eastern European and two Middle Eastern
 destinations is an encouraging reflection of reduced disease risk due to improvements in
 water and sanitation coverage. However, the fact that pre-travel vaccination is still recommended for 175 (74%) of 238 destinations demonstrates that typhoid continues to
 remain a serious risk to travelers in many parts of the world.

Jackson BR, Iqbal S, Mahon B. Updated recommendations for the use of typhoid vaccine—Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, United States, 2015. (AGREE II Score: unavailable) There is no evidence or strength of recommendations ratings or methods described.

- · Vaccination is recommended for the following groups:
 - Travelers to areas where there is a recognized risk for exposure to Salmonella serotype Typhi (the most recent guidelines are available at http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel). Risk is greatest for travelers who have prolonged exposure to possibly contaminated foods and beverages, although short-term travelers are also at risk. Most travel-associated typhoid fever cases in the United States occur among travelers who are visiting friends or relatives; many travelers in this group do not seek pre-travel health care. Multidrugresistant strains of Salmonella serotype Typhi have become common in many regions, and cases of typhoid fever that are treated with drugs to which the organism is resistant can be fatal. Travelers should be cautioned that typhoid vaccination is not a substitute for careful selection of food and beverages. Typhoid vaccines are not 100% effective, and vaccine-induced protection can be overwhelmed by large inocula of Salmonella serotype Typhi.
 - Persons with intimate exposure (e.g., household contact) to a documented Salmonella serotype Typhi chronic carrier (defined as excretion of Salmonella serotype Typhi in urine or stool for >1 year).

Author commentary: Though the evidence supporting the recommendations is low quality, the recommendation is strong. The intermediate outcome, vaccine immunogenicity, appears first in the table, as this outcome is a prerequisite for vaccine effectiveness, while the more clinically relevant result, vaccine effectiveness based on observational studies, follows.

The risks of bias encountered are balanced by the consistency of findings and the magnitude of studies' effect estimates. The randomized controlled trials show convincing immunogenic response by 28 days post-vaccination. Given the geometric mean titer reduction at 1 year, those travelers who were vaccinated 1 year or more prior to a planned trip to a typhoid fever—endemic area, especially if they are visiting friends and family, may be candidates for revaccination, though clinical practice guidelines tend to recommend doing so after 2 years for the intramuscular and 5 years for the oral vaccine.

The two registry-based observational studies are well designed and executed and indicate that, of those vaccinated, up to 80% will be protected by the vaccine. However, the authors consider this estimate an overestimation of the actual vaccine efficiency, especially in areas of intense exposure to serotype Typhi. Additionally, travelers may have a lower risk of exposure as a result of short exposure times and less exposure to contaminated water and food than the local population.

Either the oral or intramuscular typhoid fever vaccine may be used with confidence of a solid immunogenic response with few and generally mild side effects; the most frequent of these are local injection-site reactions and headaches. The decision to vaccinate should be based on a detailed risk assessment for typhoid fever that includes destination, purpose, exposure to contaminated water and food, immunocompetence, and prior or potential allergic reactions to the vaccine.

The clinical practice guidelines are consistent with these recommendations.

Update alerts: Important new citations relevant to this topic are added here as they become available.

Glossary: AGREE II, Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation; CI, confidence interval; CPG, Clinical Practice Guideline; GMC, geometric mean concentrations; GMT, geometric mean titers; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; NA, not applicable; NNT, number needed to treat; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ViCPS, Vi capsular polysaccharide.

REFERENCES

- Ohrt, C., Richie, T.L., Widjaja, H., et al., 1997. Mefloquine compared with doxycycline for the prophylaxis of malaria in Indonesian soldiers. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Ann. Intern. Med. 126 (12), 963–972.
- Sanofi Pasteur aSC, Sanofi, 2012. Study of a single dose of SP093 typhoid Vi polysaccharide vaccine in Japanese subjects.
- Mahon, B.E., Newton, A.E., Mintz, E.D., 2014. Effectiveness of typhoid vaccination in US travelers. Vaccine 32 (29), 3577–3579.
- Wagner, K.S., Freedman, J.L., Andrews, N.J., et al., 2015. Effectiveness of the typhoid Vi vaccine in overseas travelers from England. J. Travel Med. 22 (2), 87–93.
- Alberer, M., Burchard, G., Jelinek, T., et al., 2014. Co-administration of a meningococcal glycoconjugate ACWY vaccine with travel vaccines: a randomized, open-label, multi-center study. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 12 (5), 485–493.
- Alberer, M., Burchard, G., Jelinek, T., et al., 2015. Safety and immunogenicity of typhoid fever and yellow fever vaccines when administered concomitantly with quadrivalent meningococcal ACWY glycoconjugate vaccine in healthy adults. J. Travel Med. 22 (1), 48–56.
- Overbosch, D., Peyron, F., Picot, N., et al., 2005. Combined typhoid fever and hepatitis A vaccine: comparison of immunogenicity and safety to concomitant monovalent vaccine over 3 years. J. Travel Med. 12 (6), 319–326.
- Brunette, G.W. (Ed.), 2014. CDC Health Information for International Travel: The Yellow Book. Oxford University Press, New York.
- World Health Organization. International Travel and Health. http://www.who.int/ith/en/.
 Updated 2014 (accessed May 1, 2015).
- Hill, D.R., Ericsson, C.D., Pearson, R.D., et al., 2006. The practice of travel medicine: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin. Infect. Dis. 43 (12), 1499–1539.
- Johnson, K.J., Gallagher, N.M., Mintz, E.D., et al., 2011. From the CDC: new country-specific recommendations for pre-travel typhoid vaccination. J. Travel Med. 18 (6), 430–433.
- Jackson, B.R., Iqbal, S., Mahon, B., 2015. Updated recommendations for the use of typhoid vaccine—advisory committee on immunization practices, United States, 2015. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 64 (11), 305–308.

Recommended citation: Lascher S, Goldmann DR; Elsevier Evidence-Based Medicine Center: Evidence Review: Benefits and Harms of Immunization Against Typhoid Fever in Travelers Who Have Not Been Previously Exposed. Publication date: April 23, 2015. Access date: May 5, 2015.