

SKIN MECHANICS, INTRADERMAL DELIVERY AND BIOSENSING WITH HOLLOW METALLIC MICRONEEDLES

by

Sahan Ranamukhaarachchi

MASc, University of Waterloo (2012)

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE

DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

The Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

(Electrical and Computer Engineering)

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

(Vancouver)

April 2017

© Sahan Ranamukhaarachchi, 2017

ABSTRACT

Microneedles (MNs) have gained significant attention over the past decade in drug delivery and biosensing due to their minimally-invasive and less painful nature of use compared to intramuscular/subcutaneous injections, and significant biological benefits. Several fundamental processes enabling MN functionality have not been completely understood, including mechanical interaction between MNs and skin for targeted depth penetration; and precise quantification of fluid delivery in the skin. This thesis presents novel materials, and methodologies for evaluating MN interactions with skin, and investigates the performance of hollow MNs in both intradermal fluid drug delivery and biosensing.

A micromechanical comparison between human skin and porcine skin was performed using to determine their mechanical behavior affecting MN insertions. Stratum corneum (SC) of human skin was significantly stiffer (117 ± 42 MPa) than porcine skin (81 ± 32 MPa), requiring higher force of MN insertion to rupture the SC in human skin (107 ± 17 mN) than porcine skin (96 ± 23 mN). An artificial mechanical skin model was developed layer-by-layer to simulate tough human skin (MN insertion force 162 ± 11 mN) and to study the dynamics of MN insertion. Key factors that affected MN insertions into skin, including velocity of impact and total energy delivered to the skin, were identified.

ID fluid delivery by hollow MNs was assessed using a novel method involving the low-activity radiotracer technetium-99m pertechnetate ($^{99m}\text{TcO}_4^-$). Its delivery allowed accurate quantification of fluid delivered into the skin, back-flowed to the skin surface, and total fluid ejected from the

syringes via ID devices with sub-nanoliter resolution. Hollow MNs performed more accurate ID injections than conventional needles (93% vs. 69-87% of fluid per 0.1 mL injection volume).

A MN-optofluidic biosensing platform capable of eliminating blood sampling was developed with MNs that can access dermal interstitial fluid that contains numerous drugs at concentrations comparable to blood. The MN lumen was functionalized to collect, trap and detect drugs in 0.6 nL of sample. The optofluidic components provided specific high-sensitivity absorbance measurements for drug binding using enzyme-linked assays. Streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (LoD = 60.2 nM) and vancomycin (LoD = 84 nM) binding validated this point of care system.

Chapter 7 was conducted primarily by myself under the supervision of Cadarso and Padeste, and is based on the work published in the following journal:

Ranamukhaarachchi S.A., Padeste C., Dübner M., Häfeli U.O., Stoeber B., Cadarso V.J.
Integrated hollow microneedle-optofluidic biosensor for therapeutic drug monitoring in sub-nanoliter volumes. *Scientific Reports* 6, Article number: 29075 (2016)
doi:10.1038/srep29075.

In this chapter, the microneedle-optofluidic biosensor is further modified and assessed for its capability to analyze vancomycin, a therapeutically monitored drug, using a competitive drug binding scheme inside the sub-nanoliter volume of a single microneedle. Häfeli and Stoeber provided advice to guide the experimental work.

Chapter 8 summarizes the previous chapters and proposes future work to be done to improve the presented microneedle technologies, and make them available for commercial medical applications.

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Figure 1. Cross-sectional view of human skin..... | 1 |
| Figure 2. Common strategies for drug delivery using microneedles | 4 |
| Figure 3. Arrays of solid and hollow out-of-plane microneedles | 5 |
| Figure 4. Hollow metal microneedle fabrication process | 6 |
| Figure 5. A typical therapeutic drug monitoring profile showing the narrow therapeutic window | 8 |
| Figure 6. Concentration-time profiles for glucose and vancomycin in blood and interstitial fluid in rabbits | 10 |
| Figure 7. Hydrogel-forming microneedles for extraction of analytes from ISF..... | 13 |
| Figure 8. Bicomponent microneedle electrode system consisting of integrated hollow and solid microneedle arrays for glutamate monitoring..... | 18 |
| Figure 9. Continuous glucose monitoring biosensor..... | 21 |
| Figure 10. Continuous glucose monitoring by a microneedle biosensor compared to reference blood glucose ... | 21 |
| Figure 11. Structure of the human living epidermis | 23 |
| Figure 12. Stress versus strain relationship of skin resulting in a <i>J</i>-shaped curve | 25 |
| Figure 13. Tensile force versus elongation profiles for human stratum corneum | 26 |
| Figure 14. Penetration mechanism of soft solids by sharp-tipped needle and a flat-tipped needle..... | 29 |
| Figure 15. Basic principles and process of skin tissue engineering | 34 |
| Figure 16. Soft lithography process of fabricating an artificial mechanical skin model from silicone rubber | 37 |
| Figure 17. Microindentation of skin layers | 49 |
| Figure 18. Microneedle insertion profiling in skin layers | 54 |
| Figure 19. Microindentation of skin layers to determine their out-of-plane Young’s modulus for artificial skin model development | 66 |
| Figure 20. Tensile testing of stratum cornea to determine their in-plane mechanical properties..... | 67 |
| Figure 21. Microneedle insertion profiling on human, porcine, and artificial skins to compare mechanical characteristics..... | 73 |

LIST OF VARIABLES

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| A_{Backflow} | Radioactivity backflow |
| A_p | Projected area |
| A_{Skin} | Radioactivity from the skin |
| A_{Total} | Total radioactivity |
| D | Displacement |
| D_{ins} | Displacement at insertion |
| E | Young's modulus |
| E_{ED} | Young's modulus of the epidermis/dermis |
| E_{FT} | Young's modulus of the full-thickness skin |
| E_i | Young's modulus of indenter tip |
| E_{ins} | Energy required for skin fracture |
| E_k | Kinetic energy |
| $E_{k,\text{imp}}$ | Kinetic energy at impact |
| E_o | Initial total energy of the system |
| E_p | Potential energy |
| E_r | Reduced Young's modulus |
| E_s | Young's modulus of sample |
| E_{SC} | Young's modulus of the stratum corneum |
| E_{T} | In-plane Young's modulus |
| ε_i | Given strain |
| ε_{T} | True strain |
| F | Force |
| F_{ins} | Force of insertion |
| k | Spring constant |
| m | Mass |
| S | Stiffness |
| V_{backflow} | Volume backflow |
| ν_i | Poisson's ratio of indenter tip |
| ν_{imp} | Velocity at impact |
| ν_s | Poisson's ratio of sample |
| V_{skin} | Volume in the skin |
| V_{Total} | Total volume |
| x | Spring compression distance |

fluid from the injection site back onto the surface of the skin due to the skin's resistance to expansion [106, 121]. In the past, gravimetric, volumetric, and several imaging techniques have been used to assess ID fluid delivery during product development [106, 121-123]. Gravimetric analysis has been the go-to method used to determine dose accuracy (fluid delivery potential), fluid wastage, and syringe/ID device dead-space according to ISO 7886-1:1993 and ISO 11608-1:2000 standards published by the International Standards Organization (ISO) [124]. Gravimetric analysis has yielded relatively higher accuracy measurements of fluid delivery using hypodermic needle/syringe devices compared to volumetric analysis [122]. During gravimetric analysis, the delivery device is weighed without filling the fluid, after filling and priming the fluid, and after injecting the fluid into the skin [122]. The difference in the mass of the syringe before and after the injection provides the mass of fluid delivered into the skin, which can be converted to volume of fluid delivered to determine the dose accuracy [122]. However, for ID product development, the gravimetric method provides a number of challenges measuring the typical small delivery volumes, including lack of measurement sensitivity, evaporation of fluid prior to measurement and the inability to accurately capture fluid backflow as interfering liquids on the surface of the skin, such as oil and sweat, can contribute to errors in measurement [125, 126]. Electronic balances are used for measurement of mass of the syringe at various time points during dose accuracy determinations with a typical accuracy of 0.0001 g, corresponding to 0.1 μ L accuracy for water [126]. For dead-space determination in hypodermic syringes per ISO 7886:1 1993, the gravimetric measurement capability requirements are even weaker with listed sensitivity being 0.2 g (200 μ L) at an accuracy of 7 mg (7 μ L). Therefore, an alternative, highly sensitive method for characterizing ID fluid delivery is needed.

2. MICROMECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF BIOLOGICAL SKINS FOR MICRONEEDLE INSERTION ASSESSMENT

Obtaining human skin samples for medical research, including developing MN-based medical devices, is challenging. Researchers rely on human skin substitutes and skin preservation techniques, such as freezing, to overcome the lack of skin availability. Porcine skin is considered the best substitute to human skin, but their mechanical resemblance has not been fully validated. This chapter provides a direct mechanical comparison between human and porcine skin samples using a conventional mechano-analytical technique (microindentation) and a medical application (MN insertions), at 35% and 100% RH. Human and porcine skin samples were tested immediately after surgical excision from subjects, and after one freeze-thaw cycle at -80 °C to assess the impact of freezing on their mechanical properties. This mechanical comparison between human and porcine skin will serve as a reference for mechanical studies involving the two skin types, and assist in identifying the conditions where human skin can be simulated using porcine skin.¹

¹ A version of chapter 2 has been published:

Ranamukhaarachchi S.A., Lehnert S., Ranamukhaarachchi S.L., Sprenger L., Schneider T., Mansoor I., Rai K., Häfeli U.O., Stoeber B. A micromechanical comparison of human and porcine skin before and after preservation by freezing for medical device development. *Scientific Reports* 6, Article number: 32074 (2016) doi:10.1038/srep32074.

3.3. Conclusions

The mechanical measurements reported herein (E_{OP} and E_T , UTS, MN insertion force, displacement, and stiffness) validate the AMSM as a suitable mechanical model for fresh human skin for material characterization and MN application. This is the first skin model reported that has its mechanical properties carefully compared to fresh human and porcine skin samples, and that has been validated by mechano-analysis and application-driven analysis to be similar to tough human skin. Selected materials for modelling the skin layers and the actual biological skin layers are characterized using multiple, yet identical mechanisms (instrument, technique, conditions, and protocol) for validation. The polymeric materials produce lower mechanical variability and higher mechanical repeatability compared to biological skins. The validated AMSM with respect to human skin provides a much-needed substrate to replace the use of biological skins in transdermal medical device design, development, and performance evaluation. The AMSM can now be used as a standardized substrate to test and compare the insertion profiles of other available MN devices, which will provide great insight into the development and performance of transdermal drug delivery devices.

hexagonal fashion and 19 MNs (19-MN) of $700 \pm 38 \mu\text{m}$ height and $100 \pm 14 \mu\text{m}$ OD arranged in a concentric layout (central MN surrounded by two rings of 6 and 12 MNs) were fabricated. The MNs were bonded to a plastic female Luer connector, which can be mounted to standard syringes with male Luer connectors.

An artificial mechanical skin model, previously designed and validated against human and porcine skin samples in chapter 3, was employed to assess MN insertions.

4.1.2. Microneedle Insertion Setups

Quasi-static MN insertions were performed using a Q400 thermomechanoanalyzer (TMA; TA Instruments, DE, USA) as described in 2.2.3.

Dynamic MN insertions into the skin model was performed using a custom-built setup (Figure 22). The spring-loaded MN insertion device included a Luer attachment for MN arrays (1-MN, 6-MN, or 19-MN). A locking mechanism (Figure 22) with grooves allowed setting different spring compression levels at the beginning of an experiment with compression levels ranging from 4 to 20 mm at 4 mm increments to set different levels of total energy for the insertion system. The spring used in this study (spring #111; $k=266 \text{ N m}^{-1}$) was purchased from WB Jones Springs Co. Inc. (KY, USA).

as a function of time. Each image, captured originally in grayscale, was converted to a binary image based on a threshold of 0.25, which was determined based on the sensitivity of image artifacts to the binary threshold. All pixels in the grayscale image with brightness values greater than the 0.25 threshold were replaced with 1 (white), while all other pixels were replaced with 0 (black). As the MN moved towards the skin frame-by-frame, the reduction in the total number of white pixels allowed direct calculation of pixel displacement. The displacement in pixels were converted to millimeters using a calibration measurement. Since force data was captured at a 10-fold higher frequency than the image acquisition, the displacement data was interpolated to match the force data using the piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial (PCHIP) function on MATLAB. Velocity was calculated from the difference in displacement as a function of time.

4.1.5. Microneedle Insertion Experiments

4.1.5.1. Effect of Velocity and Energy on Microneedle Insertion

Several MN insertion experiments were conducted per the factorial design (Table 5). The 120 μm OD 1-MN device was predominantly used to identify the key factors affecting MN insertions. Using the energy balance equation, the initial energy of the system (E_o) was calculated from the spring constant k and spring compression (x) distance:

$$E_o = \frac{1}{2} kx^2 \quad (6)$$

The expected velocity at impact (v_{imp}) was calculated from the kinetic energy at impact ($E_{k,\text{imp}}$) and moving mass (m):

$$E_{k,\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2} mv_{\text{imp}}^2 \quad (7)$$

