

































































































































































































































































































































































































self, environment, and world-view.<sup>456</sup> While one narrative possibility would be for the narrator to have a mid-life epiphany and begin worshipping *kompira*, Shono chooses to ignore such a human/nature divide and instead shapes an animistically-infused self by having her narrator actually embody *kompira*. The novel opens with the writer-narrator coming to the realization that she is both a mature woman living in the 20<sup>th</sup> century and the four hundred-year old ocean floor-dwelling deity of sea-farers, *kompira*.

The unique characteristics of *kompira*(s), that the narrator now also possesses, are their ability to physically merge with other spirits and to use this ability to bring overlooked and forgotten *kami* back to life. *Kompira* are bourn and sustained by the vengeful spirits *onnen* and gain their identity from the change of relations that they bring about. Not simply a “hyper-personal story,” the narrator terms her project a “hyper-personal myth,” and the origin that the myth is hoping to establish is that of her far-ranging anger and discomfort<sup>457</sup> with society at large. *Kompira*’s writer-narrator and the real-life Shono Yoriko intersect in so many ways it is as though the reader is being begged to confuse the two. Like Shono herself, in her adolescence the narrator distanced herself from the over-gendered category of “girl” and assumed that she was a boy, and, again like Shono, she later feels she is unjustly maligned by the literary establishment largely as a result of her gender and her physical appearance. With her newfound identity as a *kompira*, Shono’s narrator finally understands why her life has been so difficult; she is a

---

<sup>456</sup> I intentionally use the term “world-view” where spirituality might be more expected, in order not to create a distinction between spirituality and ideology as ways of understanding the world.

<sup>457</sup> Shono uses the term *iwakan* 違和感 which can be translated as “dysphoria,” “being ill-at-ease,” or “feeling out of place.”

*kami* who thrives in an environment of vengeance and who wants to make that which has been made imperceptible, visible once again.

At times lapsing into intricate histories of the gods, and at times tapping into her visceral rage, the text seems to move in and out of the narrator's body. As the writer-narrator begins to experience the intertwined relations and mercurial emotions involved in generating the "largeness that flows from this small self" the reader is left to contemplate whether this "small self" is the virus-like *kompira* who swims against the tide and rearranges the relations of historical figures and concepts, or if the "small self" is the writer-narrator who refuses to understand herself as just one of many rationally quantifiable modern citizens? How are we to understand the overlap between one amongst many millions of documented citizens, and an individual who, out of far-ranging spiritual and political histories, crafts and occupies a vast imaginary landscape for experiencing difference?

#### Untangling Consolidation: Kompira-as-Praxis

Though the narration, at times, feels more closely aligned with the narrator's human-writer self, and at other times, more closely aligned with the narrator's *kompira-kami* self, the answer to the question of which "self" the reader should assume the narrator to be is, of course, both. The virus-like *kompira* and the writer-narrator are, for the duration of the novel, the same being. Rearranging the relations of mythical figures, as *kompira* does, and refusing to understand one's self purely in terms of rational principles, as the "ugly" and enraged female writer does, turn out to be quite similar projects against assumed redundancy. The relations being revised by *kompira* are those which were propagated by a state-forming initiative to remove "foreign" elements from an imperially derived spiritual system. This program of *Shinbutsu-bunri*, the separation

of Shintō and Buddhism that became official policy during the first years of the Meiji Restoration<sup>458</sup> is seen by the notably Buddhist-derived kompira-narrator as a concerted attempt to eviscerate the power of syncretic and local spiritualities by excising the “imported” Buddhist elements from a “pure” indigenous cosmology. At the same time that the narrator is exploring the vested interests behind *shinbutsu bunri* she is carrying out a parallel investigation of the ancient domestic struggles for power that culminated in the dominance of the Yamato clan. As a result of their political victories, the books of the Yamato, the *kojiki* and the *nihon shoki*,<sup>459</sup> have come to be seen as receptacles of the earliest extant Japanese culture. Millennia later, *shinbutsu bunri* subjects an already consolidated body of beliefs and histories to another purging of difference by seeking to separate “native” Shintō from an “imported” Buddhism. Shono unpacks this process of consolidation on dual tracks by granting narrative control to the Buddhist-derived deity kompira, and having the writer-narrator then forge a strong personal relationship with a forgotten *kami*, *sukunahikona*, from the vanquished Izumo kingdom that had posed the last threat to the Yamato.<sup>460</sup>

The writer-narrator’s allegiance to the small statured side-kick *kami* *sukunahikona*<sup>461</sup> partakes of a mythical perversion that structures central pairings in

---

<sup>458</sup> In April 1868 – the year of the Meiji Restoration - the new government ordered the *Shinbutsu Hanzenrei* (Shinto and Buddhism Separation Order). More details of this policy and its direct impact upon the legacy of kompira follow below.

<sup>459</sup> Dating from the early 8<sup>th</sup> century the *kojiki* -- the “Record of Ancient Matters” -- is a collection of myths about the origins of Japan, and is the oldest existing chronicle of Japan. The *Nihon Shoki* -- “Chronicles of Japan” -- is a slightly later and more elaborate historical record of ancient Japan. Together, these two books are generally seen to be the inspiration for the myths and rituals of Shinto, itself an amalgamation of diverse and local spiritual practices.

<sup>460</sup> In 1984 archeological evidence was found in present-day Shimane prefecture that verified the existence of the Izumo dynasty, see Piggott, Joan R, “Sacral Kinship and Confederacy in Early Izumo,” *Monumenta Nipponica* 44.1 (Spring 1989), 45-74. The chronicles -- *fudo-ki* -- of Izumo, have survived more intact than those of any other non-Yamato region.

<sup>461</sup> *Sukunahikona* worked closely, for a limited time, with the “founder of the land,” *ōkuninushi*. *Sukunahikona* then disappears and *ōkuninushi* goes down on record as the major

several of Shono's recent narratives. The opening passage of the *kojiki* tells the tale of Izanagi and Izanami, the husband and wife duo who create both the islands and the founding deities of Japan. Because the woman, Izanami, speaks first during their initial sexual union they produce a leech child, Hiruko. In the *kojiki* this early glitch is corrected and largely forgotten; the couple begins again, with the male Izanagi speaking first, and produces a string of competent and fully-formed *kami*. In Shono's creative world, however, this early scene comprises a site of compulsion, and the intense connection between a powerful older female figure and a younger, physically diminutive, male figure structures her passionate relationships. *Kompira* and *Suishōnaiseidō* [The World of the Crystals],<sup>462</sup> published a few years earlier, conclude their lengthy narratives with a lyrical and passionate scene featuring a writer-narrator and a young, and somewhat vulnerable, male deity. This coupling opens up potential restructurings that are constantly at play within Shono's later texts; an inversion of the Lolita complex,<sup>463</sup> an adjustment to the power-balance of normative heterosexuality, and, when the two mythical figures are seen as comprising a single consciousness, the figuration of plural genders within one individual.

As *Kompira*'s narrator traverses the many threads of ancient chronicles and early modern histories the intensity of her alignment shifts back and forth between her 47 year

---

*kami* of Izumo and enjoys a strong position within the Yamato constellation of deities. The most cynical reading of the *kuni-yuzuri* – ceding of the kingdom – carried out in ōkuninushi's name would be that he sold his people's sovereignty to preserve his own privileged position. The machinations that lead up to this, and the skepticism regarding the justness of the agreement are featured more in the *Izumo-fudoki* than in the canonized *kojiki* and *nihon-shoki*.

<sup>462</sup> Shono Yoriko, *Suishōnaiseidō* [The World of the Crystals], Tokyo: Kodansha, 2003. This novel features a female writer who has possibly been coerced into writing the foundational myths – post-apriorii - of a recently created woman's country, uramizumo. Whether uramizumo is a colony duped into thinking it is a sovereign nation, or the result of a successful liberation, is a question the narrator is unable to clearly answer.

<sup>463</sup> Shono understands Lolita-like relations, in which infantilized women are the most desired, to be socially normative rather than aberrant.

old writer-self and her more than 400 year old alligator-deity kompira-self. Indeed the novel rarely calms the levels of flux surrounding the content -- who is the *real* narrator?-- or the register -- *are* we to be taking this story and its arguments seriously? At times the reader is addressed with conspiratorial chuckles -- Shono's signature "he-he-he" --while in other instances the laughs are embedded in apparently serious language. Kompira's mother, for example, is introduced to the reader with the title "kompira-haha" which is composed of the Chinese character for "Kompira" and the character for "mother," pronounced "haha" in Japanese; 金比羅母. Visually we see four relatively complex kanji strung together which would usually indicate an idea with significant cultural weight, either a classical Chinese phrase or a broad modern concept. In Shono's coinage however, the sounds pronounce laughter: "kompira, haha!"

This impertinent reading of a possibly lofty term is further encouraged by the mother's actions, actions which form a central organizing image for the reading of the novel. Kompira-haha decides to go to the annual meeting of the *kami* in Izumo even though kompira have been long banned for their unruly behavior. On the one day of the year that kompira-haha ovulates, she licks her eggs with her tongue, stakes her tail to her home, and travels the thousand kilometers to Izumo. Once she enters the meeting hall, her body is both at home and at the tense, because exclusive, political congress. Though she is soon ordered out, kompira-haha writhes about wildly expressing her rage at being excluded, all the while firmly connected to the personal space of her home.<sup>464</sup> Here the marginalized kompira expresses herself on her own terms, however fleetingly, because of a physical connection that remains unbroken. Her body becomes a temporary circuit that enables her experience of two worlds at once.

---

<sup>464</sup> Tsushima Yuko, a celebrated contemporary novelist, sees this image as a depiction of Shono's own source of creative energy. See Kuroi, Hoshino and Tsushima, 405.

Shūgō: Fusion through Singing, Chatting, and Raging

The praxis that *Kompira* presents, in the image of the thrashing *kompira-haha* and in the unsettled allegiance of the “real” narrator, is the maintaining of multiple circuits so that embodied thought is not collapsed into a singular and flat telos. In Shono’s difference-enabling lexicon the term *shūgō*, though conventionally used to describe the syncretic merging of religious systems,<sup>465</sup> takes on the qualities of a simultaneously bodily and intellectual fusion. *Nottoru* (hijack) is a feistier word that, when a *kompira* merges with another being, Shono sometimes substitutes for *shūgō*. Together *shūgō* and *nottoru* form one side of an axis, with *matomeru* (“tidy-up” or “consolidate”) at the other, and for Shono, far more sinister end.

The essence of *kompira* is to merge with others. So when a posse of *kompira* transports the newborn *kompira*-narrator to its second place of birth,<sup>466</sup> they must take precautions against unintentionally merging with other beings and they do this by protectively placing hemp on their backs. The place to which they are ferrying the newborn *kompira* on this rather treacherous journey is the body of a human infant in the process of dying. While *kompira* have no gender, the human body about to be inhabited is female, and while *kompira* exist at a far divide from the state-sanctioned originary myths of the sun-goddess *amaterasu*,<sup>467</sup> the possession is taking place very near her sacred shrine at Ise. The narrator-*kompira* is entering into a world in which its physical existence as a girl and its physical presence in the ideologically saturated land of Ise will shape key elements of its identity.

---

<sup>465</sup> *Shinbutsu shūgō*, for example, can be translated as “the fusion of Buddha and *kami*,” or “the combinatory system of Shinto and Buddhism.”

<sup>466</sup> According to the narrator it is customary for mother-*kompira* to leave their offspring as soon as they give birth.

<sup>467</sup> *Amaterasu*, the daughter of *Izanami* and *Izanagi*, is the highest deity of Japan within imperially-derived state-sanctioned Shinto. Accordingly, her shrine at Ise, and the region of Ise, occupies a privileged position.

Many years after the newly born *kompira* entered the body of a dying female baby the writer- narrator, simultaneously a non-sexed *kompira* and a grown woman, shouts out in frustration “The humiliation that has been poured into my self that is a woman will not go away, it just won’t go away!”<sup>468</sup> And the powerful influence that living in Ise exerted over the narrator becomes clear as she moves away steadily eastward and her spiritual perspective widens as she is exposed to increasingly more diverse and local practices: “If I hadn’t moved to Chiba,” the narrator reflects, “ I would still think that the *kami* sarutahiko was naturally worshipped all over Japan. But really, local *kami* everywhere have just been consolidated into sarutahiko”.<sup>469</sup> This physical merging with ideological constructs of gender and state-sanctioned religion that *kompira* experiences is an embodied fusion – *shūgō* - that is overdetermining, but not overweening. *Kompira* does not forget its *kompira*-identity. At forty-something years old the human-narrator summons up her feelings of resentment and remembers that, in addition to being human, she is *kompira*.

Grace of her move to Chiba, which is “dripping with *tengu* spirits,”<sup>470</sup> the narrator is finally able to employ *shūgō* in developing her hyper-personal mythology. Far from Ise and the aura generated by institutional Shintō, the narrator feels empowered to perform her own spiritual fusion; she takes a taxi to the nearest stone mason, purchases a stone prepared in the style of her new local shrine, and asks for both “*kompira daigongen*” and “*sukunahikona*” to be carved onto it. In combining her Buddhist-derived identity of *kompira* with the overlooked *kami* from the Shintō, she conducts her personal

---

<sup>468</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 67.

<sup>469</sup> *Ibid.*, 183. The narrator’s progression of residence, moving away from Ise to Hachioji, a western suburb of Tokyo, to Chiba, the prefecture directly east of Tokyo, exactly mirrors Shono’s own residential moves.

<sup>470</sup> *Tengu* are spirits that, while they can simultaneously be *kami*, have a more local, and troublesome, and less reverent inflection.

*shūgō*. “It was when I moved to Chiba,” the narrator reflects,” that I began realizing that *shūgō* could be just this sort of thing.”<sup>471</sup>

*Shūgō*, then, becomes an accessible conceptual tool for figuring an often neglected difference. It is a conceptual tool that locates its energy-sources in visceral feelings of indignation and resentment. Without “the feelings of anger that have been belittled” and “the people that have been made invisible,” such as the narrator’s beloved *sukunahikona*, *kompiras*’ fusion could never take place. The *onnen* immanent to a given physical structure or natural object must be perceptible to the conductor of *shūgō*. Akin to a radio signal that can be picked up, the process relies upon both the strength of the specific signal as well as the fine-tuned calibration of the receptor. In the case of *asakuma-yama*, the mountain that borders the inner-shrine at Ise, for example, the land had been so overrun with requests for pragmatic wishes of safety and financial success that “there was no space left into which the vengeful spirits of conquered gods could fit.”<sup>472</sup> Indeed, *kompira-haha* had never been to *asakuma-yama* because all vestiges of historical conflict had seemingly been erased. *Kompira-narrator*, however, has so exercised her powers of experimentation since she left Ise that she is now able to rouse a silenced spirit on the mountain even though her mother before her could not. Drawing upon the rage she feels about being placed in the body of a woman, *kompira-narrator* gathers up her powers of concentration and says “I am really a man.”<sup>473</sup> Her attempt to communicate by making her anger palpable to others is such a physical process that her entire body is covered with scales and she sprouts “a tail of rage” and “the wings of indignation.” In response to the narrator’s “noblest extinct resentment” from the tomb of a historically famous woman issues a male voice: “I was buried as a man but history has

---

<sup>471</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 153.

<sup>472</sup> *Ibid.*, 289.

<sup>473</sup> *Ibid.*, 290.

made me into a woman,” says the voice, “History has treated me unjustly. Please, bring me to the sea and let me return to *tokoyo* as a man.”<sup>474</sup>

The narrator heeds the wishes of the wronged spirit, and as she carries him over the mountains the two beings merge. “That which marked him as a god was left on my body and he,” the narrator recalls, “He became the patron god of humans whose gender causes them pain.” “Or at least,” she clarifies, “he became that for me.” “What was left in me,” the narrator observes, “was the resentment that was strong enough to raise his voice even on this oppressed land, and the ability to turn that resentment into will and power.”<sup>475</sup>

The above scene, the reader later learns, is taking place at the same time that the writer-narrator is, on the verge of realizing that she is *kompira*, entering her new home in Chiba. In a move that mirrors *kompira-haha*'s simultaneous presence at the meeting of the gods and at her home a thousand kilometers away, the narrator is in her own domestic space at the same time that she, as *kompira*, is merging with a wronged male spirit in Ise. The multiple poles of opposition, however temporary, between male and female and between purified Ise and popular Chiba, are productive of the fusion process.

Whether it is the wild thrashing of the mother-*kompira*, or the intense channeling of rage that produces scales all over the *kompira*-narrator's body, Shono's fusion is always in some way corporeal. In her notion of *shūgō* the body provides plastic circuits with which to apprehend hitherto unknown experience, or difference. Shono and the science-fiction critic Larry McCaffrey <sup>476</sup> offer up Bruce Springsteen's 2002 song

---

<sup>474</sup> In the *kojiki* and *nihon shoki*, *tokoyo* is presented as both a dark subterranean land of the dead (*yomi no kuni*), as well as a land of everlasting life across the sea to which those with impurities are ritually expelled. See Cuevas, 285-287. Shono fixes on this latter definition in her own cosmology and identifies *toyoko* as a land where heretics thrive.

<sup>475</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 291.

<sup>476</sup> Larry McCaffrey, a critic of contemporary American literature and professor of English and Comparative Literature at San Diego State University, is credited with coining the

“Worlds Apart”, in which the Western and the Muslim world comprise opposing poles,<sup>477</sup> as a successful example of embodied fusion opening up new representational possibilities. Shono and McCaffrey argue that, in contrast to many folk protest songs where the topical lyrics change but the format and the target audience remain the same, Springsteen’s incorporation of middle-eastern musical strains, and the use of a sufi choir in a rock concert, generate an imaginary that is alive and open to difference.<sup>478</sup> Rather than singing “about” others with his pre-established tools of representation, Springsteen enters a distinctly new musical world -- an embodied *habitus* -- that allows for the opening up of new circuits with which to both experience and represent difference.

As in her depiction of characters with bodies in two places at once, Shono’s fiction suggests that the multiple roots of acculturation are best traversed and galvanized through a sensate engagement. In response to criticism that her writing is convoluted and difficult to understand, Shono emphasizes the role of sensual experience in the reading process:

You say that my logic and my sentences just go on in any which way? Well that might be a problem if you were reading the instruction manual for a home appliance. But is thought simply something that pushes out “conclusions”? Don’t get annoyed at writing that may appear meaningless. Rhythm exists for those situations. If you are somewhere where you can not read out loud create a space in your head where you can imagine that you are reading out loud. Your brain will be changed by your reading. The connections of the sentences are the hints of a new world. Or rather, this is the world of chat-criture, not écriture”. ...Try and

---

literary term “avant-pop.” Avant-pop defines a genre that is both intellectually challenging --as in avant-garde -- and engaged with popular culture.

<sup>477</sup> Certainly in terms of much uncritical rhetoric that has been produced in political discourse, especially but not limited to, post- 9/11, the Western world and the Muslim world are placed in opposition.

<sup>478</sup> Shono Yoriko, Larry McCaffrey, and Tatsumi Takayuki, “Waga wa Kompira, haiburiddo kami nishite abuanpopu” [I am Kompira, the avant-pop hybrid god], *Subaru* 29 (October 2007), 228-245. “World’s Apart” is a single on Springsteen’s 2002 album “The Rising,” about a relationship between an American and a Middle Eastener. The album is largely comprised of responses to 9/11 told from multiple perspectives.

touch with your tongue the strange, even dirty, words that you haven't used before. That leaps over logic? Fine, then leap. In ten years time you might reach the bottom of your heart.<sup>479</sup>

The terms “écriture” and “chat-criture”<sup>480</sup> are contrasted against one another both in terms of meaning and by the material letters used to write them. *Ekuricharu* (Écriture) is written, as one would expect, in *katakana* used for foreign words, but *shabekuricharu* (chat-criture) is written in *hiragana* despite the obvious foreign suffix “-citure.” Writing the verb “chatting” in *hiragana* lends it an aura of humility and popular accessibility, while translating *écriture* into *katakana* maintains its image of cosmopolitan sophistication. Transformative thinking is portrayed here as necessarily unique experience in time and place, an active engagement that requires the voice of a single individual. The figuration of a circuit between the word on the page and the sounds in a person’s mouth draws emphasis away from the text and toward the constantly changing body that, un-self-consciously, chats.

### The Complicated History of Kompira Daigongen

Many of Shono’s fellow writers have commented - and my own experience would certainly corroborate their observations -- that the density of historical allusions and geographical references in her writing have sent them looking for background information in the pages of religion and history books.<sup>481</sup> The knowledge that her readers are creating their own networks with plural sources would almost certainly please Shono. Her exploration of the multiple roots of fusing religious systems and her

---

<sup>479</sup> Shono, *The Troublesome History of the Ontako*, 126.

<sup>480</sup> “Chat-criture” is written “shabekurichu-ru” and is composed with the Japanese verb “shaberu,” a less formal word for “to speak.” In written Japanese, generally, one syllabary – hiragana – is used for Japanese words and another syllabary – katakana – is used for foreign-loan words and exclamation.

<sup>481</sup> Kuroi et al, 400-412.

experiment of wresting the stranglehold of the self from a modern set of parameters by merging her narrator with a “medieval” *kompira*, both partake of a rhizomatic framework in which knowledge is understood to have multiple origins and conflicting sources.<sup>482</sup>

Readers might understandably be led to wonder whether interrogating any random historical precept or figure would uncover a similarly high degree of syncretism, or whether *kompira* was carefully chosen to support Shono’s pre-conceived ideas. In the minds of many Japanese people today the name *kompira* would most likely conjure up the official shrine and major pilgrimage destination of the *Kompira-daigongen* shrine on Mt. Zozu in Shikoku.<sup>483</sup> The historic position of *Kompira-daigongen*, literally the avatar or embodiment of *kompira*, lends itself well to a meditation upon the combinatory nature of Japanese belief systems. *Kompira* is both a trans-cultural deity that migrated from the Indian sub-continent to the archipelago of Japan, and a localized *kami* who is the patron deity of Mt. Zozu on the island of Shikoku. The first known instance of *kompira* is as the fierce crocodile Hindu-deva *khumbira*, worshipped in a specific mountain range of northern India, who purportedly led followers to listen to Buddha preach and henceforth became part of the Buddhist grand narrative as one of the twelve warriors protecting the Medicine Buddha (*yakushi nyorai*). Bundled within the stories that comprise the Buddhist cosmology when Buddhism was actively imported into Japan in the 6<sup>th</sup> century, the Hindu *khumbira* was transformed into the Japanese “*kompira*.” With roots in the

---

<sup>482</sup> The literary, as opposed to botanical, term “rhizome” is associated with the 20<sup>th</sup> French thinker Gilles Deleuze and can be defined as the quality of having multiple roots or origins. Shono is familiar with the works of many 20<sup>th</sup> century French thinkers; she cites Deleuze and Merleau-Ponty, and to a greater extent Pierre Bourdieu, for example. While Deleuze’s deployment of the term rhizome dovetails nicely with Shono’s thinking, I have not come across a specific citing of it in her writing.

<sup>483</sup> And at least through to the first generation born after the war “*kompira*” would likely bring to mind the folksong “*kompira fune fune*” that evokes the sound of sails being raised with its opening lines “*shura-shu-shu-shu*.” *Kompira* is a *kami* of the sea and protector of sea-farers.

northern India, Kompira daigongen is a Japanese *kami* whose name, with the suffix “gongen,” bears the mark of a distinctly Chinese Buddhism.<sup>484</sup>

Comprising part of the intertwining systems of local, imperial, and Chinese spiritual frameworks,<sup>485</sup> *kami* as a type of supernatural being, resists easy definition. Notoriously difficult to translate into English, the category “*kami*” is already rich with fusing possibilities because *kami* can change into and enter close associations with countless other types of spiritual beings -- *mononoke*, *tengu*, and *tanuki*, figure largely amongst these spirits -- and because of the startling frequency with which their stories, their powers, and their supposed dates of existence, change.<sup>486</sup> In apparent contradiction to their supple mutability *kami* possess a high degree of particularity; it is generally as a particular tree, a particular mountain, or a particular fox, that a *kami* is worshipped.<sup>487</sup>

---

<sup>484</sup> The term *gongen* is usually derived from Chinese sutras where it figures not as a noun, but as the combination of a verb and adverb meaning “to take on temporary appearances.” In Japan, however, it seems that *gongen* constituted yet another category of deities, associated with Buddhist centers of *shosan* (mountain practice). Many *gongen* were neither native *kami* nor buddhas, but virtuous beings from foreign lands who had traveled to Japan to bring benefits to its people, and to promote the cause of Buddhism. See *Buddhas and Kami in Japan: Honji Suijaku as a Combinatory Paradigm*, eds., Mark Teeuwen and Fabio Rambelli, London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2003, 12.

<sup>485</sup> In pre-modern Japan localized folk practices co-existed with imperially supported *kami* worship, and the major Chinese imports of Taoism and Buddhism. Contemporary scholars take pains to emphasize that these multiple spiritual practices did not co-exist in their respective “purity,” as much as the diversity itself comprised the system. It was commonplace, for example, to find Buddhist temples or statues within “Shinto” shrines. Shinto, or “the way of the *kami*,” is a term that has been retro-actively applied to a wide variety of native belief systems. The imperial role in defining Shinto has always been strong, but certainly the Meiji restoration of 1868 and its creation of “State Shinto” fixed a previously more fluid and heterogeneous set of beliefs and practices into a defined doctrine.

<sup>486</sup> Thal, Sarah, *Rearranging the landscape of the god: the politics of a pilgrimage site in Japan, 1573-1912*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005, 6.

<sup>487</sup> *Ibid.*, 2. *Kami* are most often worshipped in their distinct places. Thus, the *kami* ameterasu, often revered from afar, is inseparable from her shrine at Ise. “To ignore the specificity of a *kami*’s place – both its geographic location and its social context, Thal writes, “blinds us to the role of that *kami* in the thoughts and actions of its worshippers.”

Geographically and socially situated, *kami* partake of the radical singularity of a physical body, one-off in its existence and necessarily compromised away from the abstract by its own struggle for physical and social survival.<sup>488</sup>

The distance at which clear traces of foreignness place kompira from the founding mythology and attendant *kami* of the Japanese imperial family invests Shono's kompira-allied narrator with an outsider status and potentially treasonous character. The combinatory identity of Kompira daigongen as both a *kami* and an embodiment of Buddha<sup>489</sup> made the temple-shrine complex on Mt. Zosu an ideal home to spiritual mountain ascetics called *yamabushi*.<sup>490</sup> Practicing *shugendō* - a blend of Buddhism and Shintō - *yamabushi* believed that physical experience brought spiritual results and strived "to become Buddha in this very body."<sup>491</sup> Emphasis on the physical elements of their spiritual quest led to expertise in dance and other performing arts which then facilitated the spread of Buddhism to remote areas as the *yamabushi* moved about deep in the mountains. Kompira daigongen, then, at least from its inception in the mid-1500's to the beginnings of political pressures in the early 1700's,<sup>492</sup> was a center for practitioners

---

<sup>488</sup> *Ibid.*, 36. The fact that Kompira daigongen rivaled the Shrine of Ise in importance as a site of pilgrimage during much of the Edo period illustrates the importance of geographic locale to its identity as a *kami*.

<sup>489</sup> *Honji suijaku* (traces of real essence) is the term generally used to describe this combinatory identity of a spirit that is both a *kami* and an embodiment of Buddha. Current scholarship has been troubling the implicit hierarchy of this term, which privileges Buddha, as opposed to the *kami*, as the "real essence." See for example Teeuwen and Rambelli, 18..

<sup>490</sup> It was not unusual for religious complexes with "gongen" in their name to have allegiance, to various degrees, with *yamabushi*.

<sup>491</sup> Teeuwen and Rambelli, 149.

<sup>492</sup> In 1719 the ruling *bakufu* (government of the shogun) of the Takamatsu region supported a more imperially aligned Shinto-ism and commissioned a new history to be written in which imperial figures are presented as patrons of Mt. Zozu, and kompira is ignored altogether. From this time on pressures increased to lessen the connection between the Shrine-temple and *shugendō*. Thal, 91.

of an intensely physical spiritual discipline whose focus was attuned more towards village commoners than institutional or temple clerics.

Although *Kompira*'s narrator repeats that she is not affiliated with the official kompira institution on Mt.Zozu, but is instead a “wild” kompira, the mechanisms of change at play in the history of Kompira-daigongen resonate with many of the novel's premises. By selecting kompira as the irrational and historical spirit that propels her narrative, Shono selected a spiritual being that is highly invested in the relationship between physicality and consciousness, whose foreign identity locates it on the margins of the modern and initially state-supported Japanese cosmology, and whose allegiance is with the popular as opposed to the official. Due precisely to the above characteristics the *kami* and the religious structure of kompira daigongen did not fare well during the early years of the nation-forming Meiji-restoration. The policy of *shinbutsu-bunri* (separation of Shintō and Buddhism) that the new government put into place called for priests to give up their Buddhist affiliation in order to maintain government support as clerics of Shintō institutions. In an attempt to clarify the structure of State Shintō shrines were officially ranked, with the shrine at Ise presiding solely above all of the ranks, and the shrine at Mt. Zozu being assigned to the lowest rank. The mountain-roaming, category-disrupting *yamabushi*, as well as the combinatory term “gongen,” were officially banned.

In 1868 the head priest of Kompira-daigongen, in an attempt to curry favor with the Shintō sympathizers in Tokyo, selected a new name from the nativist<sup>493</sup> Hirata Atsutane's “Tamadasuki” and renamed the shrine Kotohira.<sup>494</sup> In this name change,

---

<sup>493</sup> *Kokugaku* is usually defined as “nativist studies” and is a 18<sup>th</sup> century philological and philosophical movement that strove to fix native Japanese, as opposed to Chinese and Buddhist, texts and ideas at the origins of Japanese culture.

<sup>494</sup> In Hirata's writings Kotohira was the joint *kami* of *ōmononushi* (also known as *okuninushi*) and the spirit of the retired emperor Sutoku. The *kami* *ōmononushi*, a significant character within the Yamato foundational myths, had long been associated with Mt. Zozu, and in the account written in 1719 eclipses altogether the presence of kompira. See Thal, 129.

however, we may catch a glimpse of what Shono Yoriko more than a century later terms *kompira*'s "eternally treasonous spirit of embodiment." The head priest adopted the new and nativist name *Kotohira* but did not use the Chinese characters that Hirata used for *kotohira* (琴平). Instead, he maintained almost in its entirety the Chinese characters used for *kompira* (the rendering of the new *kotohira* 金刀比羅 bears a striking resemblance to the original *kompira* 金比羅).<sup>495</sup> In a move that creates a certain bifurcation, the same priest initiated both the change in name and the maintenance of the largely similar Chinese characters. A continuity is thereby created at the visual level, and because both renderings began with the same character for gold 金- which, significantly, Hirata's rendering did not – the symbol for the religious complex remained what it had been for centuries; the character for gold enclosed in a circle. Despite official efforts to the contrary "*Kompira*" managed to survive in the popular imaginary and is still the name by which the shrine is generally referred to today. Because *kompira* survives at the level of writing and vocal articulation, the roots of the Buddhist inflected and embodied *kompira* - already with roots "elsewhere" -- are continued at the same time that the new top-down *Kotohira* is established. Coterminous, but by no means collapsed into the same, these strands of *Kompira* continue on in the world.

#### *Kompira*: A Dialogic Writing Form

*Kompira*'s narrator works hard to undo the "top-down fusion" of politically convenient consolidation by merging with currently neglected *kami*, such as *sukunahikona* and the wronged spirit buried at Ise on *Asakuma-yama*, and bringing them back to life. Shono's challenge, however, to the silencing involved in consolidation, exceeds the subject-matter of her writing and is also at play in the forms into which she

---

<sup>495</sup> *Kotohira* is written with the same first and third Chinese character but the middle character is expanded into two and resembles a simplified version of the second character in the original "*kompira*." 金比羅 – *kompira* became 金刀比羅 – *kotohira*, see Thal, 135.

shapes her fiction and non-fiction. In her prose readers constantly find themselves being referred to articles written by Shono's critics, or to other articles she has written, and being pointed back to an early place in the text they are currently reading. As a reader you do not enter into a particular article written by Shono Yoriko as much as you enter into an inter-textual conversation with its criss-crossing pathways and energy charges exposed and held on the pages of a range of journals and books. "If you want to know more about the tragic events that befell me," ends "Don kihote no henshin bakudan"[Don Quixote's Return Bomb] "you can find the details in "Onna, SF, shinwa, junbungaku – atarashii joseibungaku wo tatakaitoru tame ni" [Woman, SF, Myths, Pure-Literature – Fighting for a New Women's Literature] and "Onna no sakka no i nashi" [No Place for a Woman Writer]."496 The essay "Woman, SF, Myths, Pure-Literature – Fighting for a New Women's Literature" ends with a web address that contains the same text with a far longer conclusion, and places the reader, now browser, in the middle of a website created by a network of women writers supporting a "sexual-textual harassment" lawsuit.<sup>497</sup> Shono's critical articles rarely come to a discreet conclusion but rather form part of a dialogue that she clearly expects to be continued, if not by the target of her criticism, then by the active reader seeking more information. The postscript to *Kompira*, for example, is not published at the end of the novel itself, nor in the journal *Subaru* where the novel was first serialized, but in a different literary journal, *Waseda Bungaku*. "The Eternally

---

<sup>496</sup> Shono, Yoriko, "Don kihote no henshin bakudan"[Don Quixote's Return Bomb], *Waseda bungaku* 30.11 (2005), 152-161, "Onna, SF, shinwa, junbungaku – atarashii joseibungaku wo tatakaitoru tame ni" [Woman, SF, Myths, Pure-Literature – Fighting for a New Women's Literature], *Mita bungaku* 83.77 (2004), 242-264, and "Onna no sakka no i nashi" [No Place for a Woman Writer], *Gunzō* (February 2002), 200-211, respectively.

<sup>497</sup> This lawsuit is the one in which the SF writer and feminist critic, Kotani Mari, accused Yamagata Hiroo (proponent of developing a financial equation for pricing literature) of wrongfully claiming that her name had been used as a pen-name by her husband in the reference book *Orutakarucha: media wakusu* [Alternative Culture: Media Works] published by Shufu-no-tomo. She was proven to have been the genuine author of the article and Yamagata was fined the equivalent of thirty thousand dollars by the Tokyo courts.

Treasonous Spirit of Embodiment: An Introduction to *Kompira* Literature” concludes “*Kompira* literature, or the spirit of *gongen*, is what I call the medieval and Buddhist forces that, having gained reason as they passed through the doctrine of modernity, are turning to face our chaotic world. For more, please read the novel itself.”<sup>498</sup> Already published in a different literary space from the novel, an endnote placed after the body of the text creates further links to more literary spaces by encouraging the reader to get hold of additional articles: “Afternote: For those of you who expected more of what I usually write, please see the column in this issue ‘Only Looking at the Characters: The Critics Way of Creating and Destroying.’ This would be read well alongside the *taidan* (literary discussion) in the December issues of both *Subaru* and *Shinchō*.” Final passages do not “conclude” in any conventional sense but instead serve as opportunities to open up multiple pathways or craft loops back to the text at hand.<sup>499</sup> Her volume of collected criticism, *Don Quixote’s Debate*, contains no less than three afterwards, and the epilogue to *Great Japan! The Troublesome History of the Ontako* concludes with a sentence exhorting the reader to return with her to the first page of the dense 223 page novel.

In instances where Shono could have, perhaps, just as easily quoted an outside source, she shows a distinct preference for referring readers to the article and recommending that they get hold of it themselves. Once the text is tracked down, the reference to Shono is often surprisingly brief. As in a conversation when a darting glance can be fleeting but effective, no trace, it seems, is too minor to be left unchecked.

---

<sup>498</sup> Shono Yoriko, “Eien no gongen tamashii: Kompira bungakuron josetsu” [The Eternally Treasonous Spirit of Embodiment: An Introduction to *Kompira* Literature], *Waseda Bungaku* 30 (January 2005), 99.

<sup>499</sup> At the end of her article “When someone from Mie gets angry” Shono implores the reader to help find venues for publication; “I have a hundred pages just like this – won’t you find somewhere to publish them?” In the last line of “Don Quixote’s Return Bomb,” she directly addresses the editor and asks him, “Please print this on the page where my response to Otsuka would have gone (had he approached the literary debate with me ethically)?” (This debate refers to Shono’s campaign for support of serious literature -- discussed at length in the preceding chapter -- in which the popular culture critic Otsuka Eiji was a significant nemesis).

Referring readers to connections both large and small, Shono generates seemingly infinite points of potential departure, and opens up valves between texts through which her own textual energy can charge. The subject matter of untangling overly-tied myths already fosters a necessarily high degree of inter-textuality within Shono's texts. Asking the reader to follow a given argument in a separate text, knowing that she can not control what part of the target text he or she will or will not read, then further opens the texts to aleatory mergings.

As Shono encourages a relatively labor-intensive manner of tracking down references, she also mocks the method of substantiating a claim by citing a supporting source in parentheses. One parenthetical citation in "Just Ignore this Difficult to Read Quarrelsome Ugly Woman Writer" reads "Some greatly respected expert," and is followed on the next page with another parenthetical citation: "It's true – Shono." Rather than supporting the point at hand by reference to a "higher" authority and putting an end to questions of veracity, the parentheses of formal citation appear like so many physical holes in Shono's texts.

The reader is rarely allowed to forget the existence of parallel worlds of meaning-making; Shono's skeptical tone towards authoritative claims and the way she encourages readers to pursue the references themselves reminds readers of the many possible perspectives at play in knowledge-formation. Inner frames and outer frames constantly bump up against each other in her fictional narratives,<sup>500</sup> and characters from earlier pieces of fiction wander into her later pieces, not as one of the current cast of characters, but as a fictional character from another story.<sup>501</sup> When discussing venerated religious

---

<sup>500</sup> For an indepth discussion of Shono's use of frame and inner-narrative see Ebihara, Ekiko, "Onna no miru yume: Shono Yoriko 'Suishoseinaido' ni okeru kyokushi teki shinwa sekai (sono 1)[female Dreams: Reading Shono Yoriko's "Super-Private Myth" Depicted in Her Novel Suischo-nai seido], *Kiyo* 37 (St. Margaret's Bulletin) (2005), 23. Ebihara suggests that Shono sometimes uses this device as a way to engage in the critique of her own writing.

<sup>501</sup> This occurs for example, in her novellas "Koko nankai-sugi karuku nagashite ne busu no isakai onna yo" [Just Ignore this Too-Difficult-to-Read Quarrelsome Woman Writer],

figures, in particular, Shono varies her tone and in so doing sharpens the awareness of multiple knowledge systems in which these figures are taken seriously to varying degrees. “From the bodhisatva to Maria to Amaterasu, there on the completely merged altar was the Japanese, Western, and Chinese, oh forgive my rudeness, I mean the Shintō, Buddhist, and Christian all-star cast.”<sup>502</sup> Rendering “all-star cast” (オールスターキャスト) in *katakana* to approximate the English pronunciation of “all-star cast,” Shono invokes a far more informal and less reverent register than she does elsewhere in the text when she adheres to the socially expected respect for that which major religions consider sacred. Using foreign-loan words, which are spelled out phonetically, in close proximity with ideographic compound-words has the effect of demystifying the organic claim of the religious terms by presenting competing, or simply plural, systems of meaning-making. When Shono places Chinese characters for religious terms alongside phonetically spelled-out foreign-loan words she does so most often with words that share a sense of economic expediency and obvious fabrication, as in “Shintō-manufacturer” 神道メーカー, or “recycled *kami*” 神リサイクル, and “downsized – *kami*” 神リストラ .<sup>503</sup>

---

*Gunzō* (July 1999), 104-133, and *Sekkyōshi Kanibatto* [Preacher Kanibatto] in *Sekkyōshi Kanibatto to Hyakku-nin no abunai bijo* [Preacher Kanibatto and One Hundred Dangerous Beauties], Tokyo: Kawadeshoboshinsha. The practice of having characters show up in stories as characters from other narratives is also used in *manga*.

<sup>502</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 129.

<sup>503</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 81, 64, and 234, respectively. The foreign-loan word “maker” (rendered above in *katakana*) takes on the meaning of “manufacturer” in its Japanese usage, and the foreign loan word “restructure” (also rendered above in *katakana*) takes on the meaning of “downsized” in its Japanese usage.

When “Eternally Treasonous” is the Subject of Eternal  
Treason...

*Kompira* marks a departure from Shono’s earlier fictional attempts at unraveling Japan’s canonical foundational myths. Her earlier, and equally fantastic, works were narrated by characters whose minds and bodies seemed to exist in a relation of rupture. The narrator, for example, of the 2003 *Suishōnaisēido* [The World of the Crystals] was possibly drugged and coerced into her role of writing foundational myths for the new women’s country. *Kompira*, however, is a whole-hearted visceral acceptance of the constantly changing nature of political resistance and embodiment. Fluid identities are a necessary part of kompiras’ challenge to consolidating practices. The ability to shift subject position is a defining quality of, rather than a threat to, kompira. While in the dystopic women’s country of *The World of the Crystals*, gender is a firm fact into which society can literally be divided, in *Kompira* the random nature of gender assignation, and the work that goes into making one’s body and spirit coalesce into the same gender, is emphasized. Shortly after being carried away from its mother, kompira enters the body of an infant that “happens” - *tama tama* - to be a girl.<sup>504</sup> Indeed, both the narrator, who thought herself a boy until puberty and later fuses with male-deities, and the leader of the vanquished Izumo, ōkuninushi, into whom the identity of a female god onanji had been collapsed, could arguably be seen as trans-gendered.<sup>505</sup> And though the narrators of

---

<sup>504</sup> *Ibid.*, 9.

<sup>505</sup> The narrator’s beloved and diminutive *sukunahikona* is traditionally portrayed as the side-kick of ōkuninushi. Upon further investigation, however, the narrator learns that ōkuninushi is himself a conglomerate god, and one of the gods that has been subsumed into his modern identity is a female god onanji. For more on transgender in *Kompira* see Utsumi Noriko, “Tekusuto ni okeru kurosu=jendādo pafuo-mansu(2): Shono Yoriko ‘Kompira’ wo chūshin ni” [Cross-gendered performances in texts (2): Shono Yoriko’s *Kompira*], *F-Gens Frontiers of Gender Studies janaru* no. 5 (March 2006), 386-393. In *Kompira* *sukunahikona* and onanji have an emotionally intense relationship. They form one of the several couples of older, powerful women, and younger, beautiful men that figure frequently in Shono’s writing. In *suishōseinaido* Mary and Jesus briefly appear as one of these couples.

both *The World of the Crystals* and *Kompira* are engaged in revisionist myth-making, the establishment of the women's country "uramizumo" in *The World of the Crystals* is an attempt on the part of the less powerful to consolidate their own power into the hopefully stable form of a nation-state, while *kompira* is a *kami* who is constitutively antagonistic to any form of consolidated power. *Kompira* spread far and near, merging with obsolete and extinguished *kami*, but this merging does not produce a cohesive block, or the prospects of political aggrandizement. Despite the presence of various *kompira* in far-flung locations, the narrator tells us, they are always "bara-bara" – random and one-off.

Written three years after the completion of *The World of the Crystals*, *Kompira* is a far more optimistic critique of what Shono terms the "occult-lolita complex," the infantilizing of women supported by both the superstitious nationalist myths and the liberalizing forces of the contemporary market place. Gone are the fixity of gender and the corresponding idea of a women-only land. Gone also is the suspicion that the narrator always had towards her own project in *The World of the Crystals*; was she kidnapped away from old Japan to write these new founding myths? Is complete gender neutrality really desirable? The nameless narrators of both *Kompira* and *The World of the Crystals* are intertwined with a fate not of their own making; one with the possible abduction and drugging by those who have assigned her the task of writing the foundational myths of a new state, the other by being, at the same time, both the first and female human child of a young couple, and a 400 year old *kompira*. But while the former narrator feels constrained by the oppressive relations she finds herself caught up within, the narrator of *Kompira* finds her world greatly widened by the revelation that she shares an existence that spans both vast amounts of time and physical distance. The narrator of *The World of the Crystals* is faced with a formerly rebellious movement that is now in the process of

conserving its political gains,<sup>506</sup> whereas *Kompira*'s narrator is coming to terms with the fact that she has embodied a “perpetually treasonous” spirit that helps re-energize obsolete *kami*, but never participates in the consolidation of a new system of power.

Shono is a notoriously prolific writer who has explicitly stated that she is more interested in seeing where her writing takes her than in the consistency of her arguments and the tightness of her logic. In *The World of the Crystals* she seems to have found that she had written herself into some creative dead-ends and, benefiting from that process, altered her points of departure to begin *Kompira*. Like the narrator she creates for *Kompira*, she is not interested in the protected stances and willful blindness that the consolidation of even her own hyper-personal myths would entail. “What emerges from this critical work,” Hoshino Tomyuki concludes, “is surprisingly non-tragic, rather what one feels when reading *Kompira* is a sense of freedom and pleasure from the thoroughness of a new vision.” A vision that, “could help you get hold of your own *kami*.”<sup>507</sup>

#### Gohei: The Crisscrossing Currents of Ownership and Embodiment

Currency, Shono has frequently stated,” brings one immediately to the present.” Possessing a manifest value and immediate relevance, currency has a charge that shoots through the many overlapping fabrics of everyday life. In *Kompira* currency also takes on the less tangible role of a portal to irrational dimensions of being and embodiment. The registered symbol for *Kompira*-daigongen, the institution with which the narrator of *Kompira* claims to share no affiliation, is the Chinese character for gold, which is the

---

<sup>506</sup> This is a theme that Shono frequently re-works, in *Great Japan! The Troublesome History of the Ontako* the previously anti-establishment *ontako* claim the title of dissidents for themselves and themselves alone as they consolidate their political victory.

<sup>507</sup> Hoshino Tomoyuki, “Kanibatto’ kara bōfu” [The Storm from *Kanibatto*], *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007), 95.

same as the character for “money”, enclosed in a circle. The shorthand, so to speak, for *Kompira daigongen*, is the character for money encircled. The symbol for the purportedly “wild” and non-institutionalized *kompira* of the novel is a subtle riff off of the official symbol; a golden *gohei* perched on a cloud.<sup>508</sup> Literally rendered “holy hemp,” with hemp being a historic unit of exchange, *gohei* are the zig-zagged lightning shaped offerings to the gods found in neighborhood shrines throughout Japan. Made of cut and folded white paper affixed to a wooden wand, and given in hopes of a worldly wish being fulfilled, the offerings share with the official symbol of *kompira daigongen* the history of having been an actual currency.<sup>509</sup>

The channels that currency opens up seem to move in multiple directions. Shono, as we shall see, was brought “to the present” in the process of financing a mortgage for the first time – the process of which motivated her writing of *Kompira*. Within *Kompira*, *gohei* play a pivotal role in bringing the young narrator to an awareness of supernatural dimensions. As a ten-year old girl living in Ise she had a dream in which a *tengu*,<sup>510</sup> a spirit often associated with the mountain-roaming ascetics *yamabushi*, appears in the back of her family’s house. Shortly thereafter, a traveling pilgrim trespasses into her backyard and places *gohei* in the exact same spot on which the *tengu* had appeared in her dream. While the father, in a rare fit of emotion, grabs the girl away and runs the pilgrim out of the yard, the young girl is left amazed by the coincidence. The narrator uses this coincidence to build up suspense as she promises the reader that “I will explain how this

---

<sup>508</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 163.

<sup>509</sup> Hemp, the material from which *gohei* were originally made, was used as a form of currency in Japan from the 8<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> centuries. Hemp was later was later strung through the holes in coins to keep them together, and was also the most common material used for making wallets.

<sup>510</sup> *Tengu*, literally “heavenly dogs,” are one variety of *yōkai* (monster-spirits) in Japanese folklore. They have the reputation of being disruptive protectors of the mountains and forests and are often associated with *shugendō* and depicted in the garb of its followers, *yamabushi*. *Yamabushi*, mentioned above, are the ascetic practitioners who were outlawed in the process of separating Shinto and Buddhism in the 19<sup>th</sup> century.

happened in the next chapter.” The explanation provided -- thirty novel-years later -- in the first lines of the next section is quite simple; in the course of the narrator’s move to Chiba<sup>511</sup> she learns that the world is so crowded with spirits that it is not surprising that two -- the *tengu* in the dream and the *gohei*-toting pilgrim in the yard -- should happen to bump up against one another. The scene in Ise where the father yanks the awe-struck narrator away from the spot on which her personal vision and the alms-giving pilgrim converge, is a far cry from a subsequent scene in Chiba where the narrator hops into a cab and casually orders her own cosmology written onto a religious stone.<sup>512</sup> When she lived in an area “subjected, in the extreme, to *shinbutsu bunri*”<sup>513</sup> she did not feel the freedom to be so religiously creative. In Chiba, the narrator feels no disciplinary divide between economics and religion; she hails a taxi, goes to the commercial district, and gets a receipt for her purchase.

Frequently referring to Prince Gautama Siddhartha’s turn to spirituality on the eve of inheriting a kingdom, and the subsequent Buddhist tenet that “ownership is pain,” Shono emphasizes that the connection between ownership and selfhood is potentially a spiritually rich nexus. Indeed, it was her first experience of ownership that compelled Shono to write *Kompira*:

Fully owning something – with no qualifications - is jolting to your soul. It produces a strain on your consciousness and that strain is the origin of your self. Japanese people have always been in the system of property accumulation ever since the breakdown of the imperial tax system in the 8<sup>th</sup> century. You can’t escape it whether you are an owner or not -- the possibility of becoming an owner is always there. Because it operates at the base of your self

---

<sup>511</sup> Chiba, a prefecture to the east of Tokyo, is far less historically connected to the imperial family mythology than is the narrator’s original home of Ise.

<sup>512</sup> The narrator asks for a Shinto *kami*, *sukunahikona*, and a Buddhist derived *kami*, *kompira*, to be carved into a stone tablet that had the official crest of her new local shrine in Chiba. Here, she is performing the opposite of *shinbutsu bunri* (separation of Shinto and Buddhism) with the *kami* for which she has personal affection.

<sup>513</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 123.

it necessarily has religious connections. I read, in a book on religious history, that people even became priests in order to escape the agony that ownership presents to the self. This resonated with parts of my life and I wrote *Kompira* in response to that resonance. I wrote *Kompira* as a personal biography after I “owned” my mortgage and my relationship to my cats. The structure of my personal biography grew out of ownership and religious history.”<sup>514</sup>

The original impetus for buying the house were the cats that Shono found discarded in the dumpster outside of her apartment building, and decided to take in to her small apartment. Assuming that they were abandoned when they ceased being “cute and cuddly” kittens, Shono began feeding them and ended up moving to an area in which she could afford to buy a house in which to better care for them. Shono’s definition of ownership is not a definitive one of exclusive rights, but rather one that emphasizes the relationships into which ownership implicates the owner. She owns not the house, but the mortgage, and not the cats, but her relationship to the cats. Shono uses the term ironically to show how ownership, whether inherited, purchased, or plundered, brings about a perversion of social relations. Stray cats, clearly, already exist outside any legally protected claim to ownership. But the cats are full-bodied beings whose whereabouts she now controls and this relation profoundly affects her.<sup>515</sup> Never does she couch the profundity of her relation in terms of love or affection, or even moral responsibility. It is simply a redefinition of the parameters of her self -- without sentiment -- which she experiences in ownership.

. The unpredictable re-shapings of one’s self that occur when “ownership” sets new relations into motion are well symbolized by the crisscrossing jagged paper of *Kompira*’s symbol, *gohei*. In my reading the lightning-shaped offerings figure the

---

<sup>514</sup> Shono Yoriko, *Ichī, ni, san, shi, kyō wo ikiyou! Narita sanbai* [1,2,3,4, Let’s Live Today! Narita Worship], Tokyo: Shūeisha, 2006, 88.

<sup>515</sup> Interestingly, Shono has stated that she is not partial to cats nor does she feel she is particularly good with cats. Yet their deaths are frequently cited, along with publications and residential moves, as significant events in her life. See, for example, the biographical sketch in *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007), 242-245.

ceaseless intersections across economic and spiritual systems that generate an electric charge. Historical accounts of *gohei* describe a tendency towards proliferation and embodiment; people often took *gohei* to be embodiments of *kami* and therefore worthy of worship themselves, much to the chagrin of 19<sup>th</sup> century nativists who were attempting to delineate a centralized Japanese belief system.<sup>516</sup> The writer-narrator implicates *gohei* at the nexus of politics, economics and spirituality when she describes a major political and economic shift in the 8<sup>th</sup> century away from the imperial tributary system to a more regional economic order;<sup>517</sup> couching this paradigm shift in terms of the spiritual independence that the newly imported Buddhism provides for lesser nobility, the writer-narrator sums up the change by quoting a dissenting noble who says, “We don’t need your imperially disbursed *gohei* to ensure a good harvest anymore.”<sup>518</sup>

The importance of *gohei* as a symbol reaches a crescendo in *Kompira*’s concluding scene. Here, just three pages after the narrator has conducted her most important spiritual fusion with a male *kami* on Mt. Asakuma, the golden hue of *kompira*’s *gohei* follows her into her new house in Chiba:

The last image that I had in my mind – as a human – were the golden rays of *gohei* from the shrine that I had just visited. Their shape burned irritatingly behind my eyelids and became the only image I saw whenever I closed my eyes. On top of that, my memories were all in gold, there was nothing I could do about it – even if I told myself that this wasn’t really real. Then I was hit by the hard mass of my thoughts, I was knocked down by certainty. At the bottom of the stairs I saw a golden light. It had followed me

---

<sup>516</sup> Lowell, Percival, *Occult Japan: Shinto, Shamanism, and the Way of the Gods*, Vermont: Inner Traditions International, c1990, 23. Apparently this is not an unusual phenomenon with objects of religious worship.

<sup>517</sup> The *ritsuryo-sei* was a legal system introduced in the late 6<sup>th</sup> century which was based upon the far-reaching political administration of Tang Dynasty China. There were many standardizing elements of this system, from legal codes and punitive measures, to delineating official ranks and classes, and, most importantly for Shono’s purposes, the establishment of an imperially-controlled taxation system. By the late 8<sup>th</sup> century the system had largely broken down.

<sup>518</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 89.

from the shrine. Burning with gold, it passed through the wall. That lightning-form, that zig-zag shape of cut and folded paper, it passed through the hall just where the altar was. I saw it, the golden *gohei*, and I felt welcomed and relieved... According to humans there are no gods, but I am *kompira*. A golden *gohei* followed me into my house, it is a sign that I am *kompira*”<sup>519</sup>

Following her into her own personal space are *gohei*, simultaneously the symbol of her wild *kompira*-self, an offering that tended towards proliferation and embodiment, and an economic unit of exchange that had previously held people captive to imperial dictates. The multiple charges of the *gohei* enter her home in the same flood of light, and do not cancel each other out. As the restructuring “virus” that is *kompira* courses through her body, the narrator can not keep herself from shouting out loud, “Its *kompira*- I am *kompira*!” (This revelation she observes, occurs for her at the level of “folk customs.”) Reflectively, she compares her exclamation that she is *kompira* to similarly provocative statements she made as a young girl and recognizes that she is able to occupy multiple zones of feelings at the same time: “The calm analysis of my childhood and that ecstatic vision of *gohei* existed side by side in my mind with no problem at all.”<sup>520</sup>

Experiencing the jolt of being brought into the present with the purchasing of a house and the possibility of accruing capital, Shono creates a hybrid narrator who is both a mythical *kami* and a contemporary writer modeled largely after her own self. Enlisting *kompira* to perform its “eternally treasonous embodiment,” she attempts to maintain different conceptual systems of value in her own moment of amalgamating socio-economic power. *Kompira* becomes her family-god with no collectivity, and her very own “hyper-personal myth.” This is the praxis that *Kompira* models for the modern reader – to reach through the dominant barometer of value, as one would a portal, to a vast web of historical and spiritual systems.

---

<sup>519</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 294-295.

<sup>520</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 295.

The Power of Resentment and the Charge of “ugly”

*Onnen*, spiritually-inflected resentment that palpably lives on long after the implicated individuals have passed away, is the energizing force of the processes set in motion by *Kompira*. Whether in addressing unjust historic relations, or in forging open circuits for parallel values systems in contemporary experience, *onnen* helps generate the imagination, and required motivation, to single-mindedly articulate one’s unique critique. The visceral responses that Shono and her narrators evince to being seen as “ugly” function as a type of *onnen* and lead to an obsessive focus upon the term “ugly.” The excessive burrowing, in turn, creates a narrative space in which the presence and power of that term can be illuminated.

*Kompira*’s writer-narrator and the real-life Shono Yoriko are linked by their preoccupation with why it is that they are so angry all the time,<sup>521</sup> and by the way their similar moves away from the Shintō-saturated land of Ise to the far less religiously manicured area of Chiba enabled their spiritually creative license. The most electric charge, however, that runs back and forth across the divide separating her fictional characters and her historical self is the claim of being ugly. Shono’s practice of describing herself as “ugly” in interviews and critical essays creates a clear overlap with the routinely thick-legged, oily-skinned, and pimply, first person female narrators of her hyper-personal I-novels. This largely literary process, hitherto self-referential, was injected with a jolt of extra-literary support when Shono’s publisher Kawade Shobō included a photo of her in their newspaper advertisements for Shono’s *busu mono* (“ugly” stories). The novel being advertised, “Sekkyoushi Kanibatto” [Preacher Kanibatto], involves an ugly I-novel writer who gets harassed by women who had been followers of a

---

<sup>521</sup> Readers familiar with Shono’s voluminous non-fiction criticism will recognize this as a question that Shono herself grapples with in essays like “When Someone from Mie gets Angry” or “Don Kihote’s Return Bomb.”

certain preacher Kanibatto.<sup>522</sup> Largely basing his preaching on the writing of the neo-Confucian philosopher Kaibara Ekiken's "Onna Daigaku" [Greater Learning for Women],<sup>523</sup> Kanibatto instructed his followers to embrace their gendered role in the familial hierarchy. But some of the women who followed his preaching nonetheless failed to secure a marriage. These "leftover" women turn into vengeful zombies that target women who are unattractive and single, yet nevertheless lead happy and satisfied lives. The "leftover" zombies send assaulting faxes to their targets and then proceed to invade their dreams. The publisher of the novel *Preacher Kanibatto*, Kawade shobō, selected a passage in which the narrator reflects upon her life-long identity as an ugly person to put in their blurb on the book jacket: " 'What is this? A beauty? How did *I* get to be that? I am the world's only beautiful ugly person. Just what is the connection between looks and marriage?' The pure literature masterpiece of an Akutagawa-prize winning writer." Such a citation makes it easy to elide the distinction between the narrator and the author. In Kawade shobō's newspaper ad for the book the wording is kept the same but the first three questions -- "What is this? A beauty? How did *I* get to be that?" -- are superimposed in bold lettering over a photo of Shono herself. Two years later another publishing company, Shinchō-sha, even more directly links Shono and *busu* when they introduce her as "the non-photogenic and ugly akutagawa prize-winning writer" in their newspaper ad for her 2001 novel *Shibuya shoku asagawa* [A Shibuya-colored Shallow River].<sup>524</sup> It seems very likely that these advertising strategies were implemented with Shono's active encouragement. Given her willingness to name names

---

<sup>522</sup> Shono Yoriko. *Sekkyōshi Kanibatto to Hyakku-nin no abunai bijo* [Preacher Kanibatto and One Hundred Dangerous Beauties], Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1999.

<sup>523</sup> *Onna daigaku* [Greater Learning for Women] is commonly attributed to Kaibara Ekiken (1630-1714), a Japanese botanist and Neo-Confucian philosopher.

<sup>524</sup> Shono Yoriko, *Shibuya shoku asagawa* [A Shibuya-colored Shallow River], Tokyo: Shinchō-sha, 2001.

and hold writers and publishers accountable to their words, the fact that she does not criticize Kawade shobō or Shinchō-sha in her polemical essays alone is strong support for the assumption that Shono was either willingly engaged in, or approving of, the advertising process. Certainly, Shono's own habit of consistently describing herself and her characters as mealy and unattractive flirts with the very public declaration that the publishing companies make.

In the advertisements, however, a line is crossed where socially acceptable self-deprecation turns into the taboo-ed act of publicly declaring -- and owning that claim as opposed to whispering it anonymously -- someone's "ugliness." As the writer and critic Yoshinori Shimizu notes in his discussion of these advertisements, "There is no more venomous word to have applied to oneself than 'ugly.' It is an aggressive word that reveals a hidden prejudice and spreads fear and smirks and laughter."<sup>525</sup> Gone underground, at least within adult decorum, but nonetheless deeply structuring of social relations, "ugly" is a word that Shono invites back into public usage. (Kompira, remember, are only capable of merging with and bringing forgotten spirits back to life if there is still sufficient resentment remaining for them to detect).

Far more than producing an insult, the triangular relationship between author, narrator, and marketing strategies, provides Shono with an opportunity to break out of the fictional world in which the announcement of her own ugliness can be maddeningly undercut. The narrator in *Preacher Kanibatto* -- yet another narrator who bears striking resemblance to Shono -- expresses her frustration with the conundrum of having her stories of ugliness being desired without her herself ever feeling desired:

I was the writer of ugly-I novels, and this was my art.  
Writing provocatively about the duress experienced by woman  
blessed with only plain looks, my novels earned sympathy from a  
certain slice of well-heeled intellectual women. Interestingly, the,

---

<sup>525</sup>Shimizu Yoshinori, *Shono Yoriko: Kokū no senshi* [Shono Yoriko: Warrior of the Air], Tokyo: Kawadeshobōshinsha, 2002, 55.

shall we say “mature,” female readers that came to see me were to the very last woman all beauties. My novels took shape from the ugliness that I would frankly describe. But the effect wasn’t as though I was breaking a taboo, it came off more like black humor. The more I focused on the discrimination against ugliness, the more my books sold. That didn’t mean, however, that I was therefore able to enter in the world of love or marriage. It seemed that there was still a big difference between an ugly person in print and a real-life ugly person.<sup>526</sup>

The biographical details that the narrator of this clearly fictional novel shares with Shono are significant. They both won a prize for new writers in 1981, they both suffered a ten-year period of obscurity, they both left the journal of their literary debut, and they are both over forty, single, and without children. The moments of humiliation that the narrator describes, and the private knowledge that accrues from those moments, would fit seamlessly into the many biographical accounts that Shono weaves into her non-fictional essays; the memory of the look of horror on a young boy’s face when she, at twelve years old, gave him a valentine; how young male awardees of literary prizes would try to get away as soon as possible when introduced to her; and how she feels she confounds a certain Japanese literary convention that women writers are also desirable beauties.

Shono’s creativity and worldview are inseparable from the experience of living in her specific body. Removing the category “ugly” because of its discriminatory and unkind deployment would deny the use of an analytical tool that is crucial to understanding her experience in the world. Shono’s repetitive use of *busu* is an instance of the strategy she proffers in *Kompira* – to bring back to life a historical figure or concept that has been silenced by gathering up all of one’s resentment, and to thrash wildly about at a point of pressure, be it geographic or intellectual. Just like the visceral process that *Kompira*’s narrator engages in when she summons up as much righteous anger as she possibly can in order to be able to hear the *kami* that had been institutionally cleansed from Mt. Asakuma in the heart of Ise, Shono bandies about the word “ugly” in

---

<sup>526</sup> Shono, *Preacher Kanibatto*, 13.

hopes of taunting interlocutors into participating in tracing the current power of the word. The expression of her *onnen* in writing is rooted in the ways her corporeal being shapes her experience in the social world. It is a similarly unbroken connection between social expression and embodied experience that allows the writer-narrator of *Kompira* to come to terms with her lidless rage through that act of becoming an incarnation of the wild and heretical kompira. It was not enough for the narrator to simply worship the hybrid *kami* closely associated with practitioners who strove to “be Buddha in this body,” she became kompira in her body.

The narrator of *Hyakkunin no abunai bijo* [One Hundred Dangerous Beauties],<sup>527</sup> the sequel to *Preacher Kanbinatto*, relentlessly recounts the ways in which a woman’s ugliness stamps out all other personal characteristics. “Even an unemployed, unskilled man suffering under the weight of unpaid loans with gastro-intestinal issues so bad that he can’t take a step without passing gas,” the narrator contends, “even if he walks by a successful systems engineer with ten years of experience under her belt who happens to be unattractive, even he gets to call her ‘ugly’.” “Or, if for example,” the narrator elaborates, “a woman walking home after nursing the elderly goes straight to an early morning market to run her errands and has a tired face, some man will say she is ugly.” The reflex to feel negative towards an ‘ugly’ woman, she explains, cuts across demographics and is carried out unchecked: “There is no logic or thought required to understand ‘ugly’. Whether you are a moderate, a Marxist revolutionary, a neo-conservative, or simply a professional, all men hate ugly women.”<sup>528</sup>

Riffing off of the literary critic Yoshimoto Takaki’s well known, and far loftier, article “What is Beauty in terms of language?” Shono titles a section in the epilogue to

---

<sup>527</sup> This title is a send-up of the tradition of grouping together one hundred woodblock prints under the same rubric, such as “One hundred views of Mt. Fuji.”

<sup>528</sup> Shono, *Preacher Kanbinatto*, 153.

one of her later novels “*genko ni totte busu wa nani ka?*” [What is ugliness in terms of language?]. Here, the narrator of Shono’s *The Troublesome History of the Ontako* makes a critical distinction between the treatment of language and the treatment of a face. “First, let me say that in terms of a face,” the narrator begins, “ugliness is not something that gets corrected - no matter how much assistance I receive at the beauty parlor, I will always be ugly...” “The claim of a piece of writing can be evaluated or responded to,” the narrator then concludes, “but the claim of a face, well, there is no obligation to re-evaluate that.”<sup>529</sup> While these ruminations take place in the epilogue, an earlier chapter teasingly titled “*Shōsetsu nai shōsetsu: Shono Yoriko no kōhan sei*” [The novel within the novel: the latter stage of Shono Yoriko’s life] again connects the author’s name with ugliness and form; “For the first fifty years of her life there was no one as ugly as she was...Her ugliness was in the frame of her body, in the way her body widened from the top to the bottom, in the way her chin stuck up and the hair shot funnily out of her head.”<sup>530</sup> The indelible stain of ugliness is a notion that is ruminated upon by several of Shono’s first-person narrators, and the reverie is often preceded by questions of marriage. When reporters grill the narrator of *Preacher Kanibatto* about being single after forty she immediately reflects upon her appearance; “My looks haven’t changed since I was a young girl of 10 or so...which isn’t to say that I have kept up a youthful appearance. I don’t really take charge of my appearance in an aggressive way, my hair is getting whiter and I am gaining weight as I age. But whether I am fat or thin, or look older or younger, I am still ugly. Nothing about that ugliness really budes.”<sup>531</sup>

Zombie number 38 in *Preacher Kanibatto* makes a similar connection between looks and marriage when she beseeches the “unattractive phlegmy-looking writer” to

---

<sup>529</sup> Shono, *The Troublesome History of the Ontako*, 214.

<sup>530</sup> *Ibid.*, 128-129.

<sup>531</sup> Shono, *Preacher Kanibatto*, 12.

recognize her despicable position in society as a single, aging woman.<sup>532</sup> “Your cats won’t take care of you when you grow old you know, they won’t work to support you,” chides the vengeful zombie, “You have to make an effort, a fat woman has no use in this world. Stop writing and direct your energies towards making an appropriate marriage.”<sup>533</sup>

The coupling of “unattractive” with “spinster” is hardly a novel invention. But Shono’s writing does not stop with the depiction of the stock “hag” character. Her fiction creates webs of reference between looks, desirability, and creative potential. She deploys terms such as “literary stalker” in which words from the discourse of artistic creation and the discourse of romantic desire, however twisted, are combined. When, in the story “Just Ignore this Too-Difficult-to-Read Quarrelsome Woman Writer,” the narrator is followed by a literature stalker that is trying to get her out of pure-literature and into commercial literature,<sup>534</sup> a parallel is drawn between making oneself physically attractive enough to be able to gain a position within the marriage system and the pressure to make one’s writing marketable. Both marriage and literature are depicted as systems of exchange in which comprehensibility and attractive appearances make for a high degree of transferability. The literary stalker is not trying to force her into previously unrequited love with a person, but (rather) into writing in a manner that she expressly resists. Shono again scrambles the discourse of romance and the discourse of literary value when her narrator in “The Novel within a Novel: The Latter Stage of Shono Yoriko’s Life” ruminates on the causes for Shono’s belated literary success. While her fortunes languished within the literary world for most of her twenties and thirties,<sup>535</sup> the narrator

---

<sup>532</sup> *Ibid.*, 60.

<sup>533</sup> *Ibid.*, 66.

<sup>534</sup> Shono, “Quarrelsome Woman Writer,” 110.

<sup>535</sup> As discussed in the previous chapter, Shono Yoriko was unsuccessful in getting a book of hers published for ten years after she won the literary journal *Gunzō*’s new writer’s prize.

contends that her writerly success after age forty is due to the fact that society no longer expects a woman in her forties to be sexually useful.<sup>536</sup> With her sexual uselessness no longer relevant, or dominating her aura, her writing is able to be attended to.

In the world of Shono Yoriko, ugliness is about creative potential, incisive critique, the inability to be heard, *and* physical repulsiveness. “Ugly” is not an abstraction of that which is excised in order to lend definition to a given system, along the theoretical lines of Mary Douglas’ “dangerously impure”, Kristeva’s “abject”, or George Bataille’s “heterogeneous”,<sup>537</sup> it is also a lived experience of physical and social rejection. The language Shono employs is unflinching in its focus on the narrator’s body, down to the size of the skin’s pores. “It was a fat face,” explains the first person narrator of *Preacher Kanibatto*, “with a grain so rough that the pores seems as though they were going to tear apart...A face that made people feel as though they are being accosted by a lump of flesh.”<sup>538</sup> That neither feminism nor liberal democracy nor radical leftist

---

<sup>536</sup> Shono, *The Troublesome History of the Ontako*, 129.

<sup>537</sup> In *Purity and Danger*, a work significant to Julia Kristeva’s notion of the “abject,” Mary Douglas identifies that which has been excised from order and value as bearing the potential for re-ordering, and therefore being both dangerous and powerful: “Granted that disorder spoils patterns; it also provides the materials for patterns. Order implies restriction; from all possible materials a selection has been made, and from all possible relations a limited set has been used. So disorder by implication is limitless...it has potentiality. It symbolizes both danger and power,” *Purity and Danger: an analysis of concepts of pollution and taboo*, New York: Praeger, 1966, 154. The concept of the abject that Julia Kristeva develops in *Powers of Horror* builds upon this notion that that which is excised from ordering systems is threatening to systems of meaning and therefore has the power to produce feelings of horror; “the abject is radically excluded and,” Kristeva explains, “draws me toward the place where meaning collapses,” *Powers of Horror: an essay on abjection*, trans. Leon Roudiez, New York: Columbia University Press, 1982, 2. The work of Georges Bataille can be seen as a precursor to these later works. In his notion of “heterology” Bataille posits that all systems of thought and representation have heterogeneous elements that are necessarily excised. ‘Heterology’ he argues, liberates these “excremental elements” and in doing so bears the potential of freeing us from homogeneous systems of representation whose purpose is to “create a servile human species,” *Visions of Excess: selected writings, 1927-1939*, Trans. Alan Stoekl. Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press, 1985, 97. Shono’s writing shares with this lineage of thought the premise that the abject has liberatory potential, but she places more emphasis on the lived experience of the abjected.

<sup>538</sup> Shono, *Preacher Kanibatto*, 16.

movements have come close to unearthing the power contained within the word “ugly” is a marvel that Shono’s characters constantly re-discover. By consistently bringing up her own self -- whether in creating first person-narrators whose biographical detail undeniably overlaps with Shono’s own history, or by her willingness to see a photo of herself superimposed with the word “ugly” in an advertising campaign -- Shono refuses to make a complete abstraction of the term “ugly.” Unearthing and tapping into the anger felt as a result of the still-present power of the category “ugly” represents far too great of an energy source to leave behind. As Shimizu Yoshinori notes when he describes *Preacher Kanibatto* and *One Hundred Dangerous Beauties* as “an unprecedented and triumphant attack upon the role that “beauty” plays in the institutions of marriage and family,” ugly still lurks within the systems of sex and romance in ways that are often considered to be simply beyond critique.<sup>539</sup>

Refusing the tools of deconstruction, Shono instead chooses to return the anger caused by the insult “ten-million fold” in her writing. While the title of her fictional essay “Just Ignore this Too-Difficult-to-Read Quarrelsome Woman Writer” is already assaulting, the dynamic that propels the narrative produces an even more graphic interrogation of unattractive appearances. At her brother’s “gorgeous” wedding<sup>540</sup> the narrator, Sawano, considers herself as if from a distance, as “that woman with a fat shining face who blames everyone...and to whom no one would feel attracted.” “It is so gross,” the narrator continues, “that that menopausal woman still has pimples on her back – I am certain her legs are fat.”<sup>541</sup> Explicitly engaged in questioning the nature of the relationship between the narrator and the author that Shono, the author, compulsively

---

<sup>539</sup> Shimizu, 2002, 56.

<sup>540</sup> In Japanese the English-loan word “gorgeous” bears the connotation of luxurious and high-class.

<sup>541</sup> Shono, “Quarrelsome Woman Writer,” 106.

invites, Sawano is a character from an earlier Shono novel. Sawano resents being brought back to literary life and seeks out the writer Shono Yoriko in order to clarify who she is and why she is still being used. After being trapped in various elevators and coffee shops that seem to represent the literary establishment, and after being hounded by characters who rehearse the complaints against pure-literature and its poor sales, the narrator finally arrives at an old-fashioned *koban*<sup>542</sup> (police box) and reaches Shono when she picks up the phone found there.

The character Shono, it turns out, is trying to take a rest from both her writing and the anger that propels her writing, so she is quite perturbed that the irate Sawano is breaking her respite. During the heated exchange that ensues, Sawano agrees to leave Shono alone if she can just ask one question: “Who am I?” “You,” Shono replies, “are a quarrelsome woman, an ugly quarrelsome woman.” “Oh, at some point,” the narrator then realizes, “I stopped being Sawano and became just another quarrelsome woman. I hung up the phone.”<sup>543</sup>

The phone in the *koban* rings and it is Shono calling back with some advice for the narrator. “Try not to be so sensitive,” she says, “you are making us look pathetic.” This upbraiding from Shono causes Sawano’s thoughts to spiral downward, literally, as she wonders about the coffee shop’s dirty toilets and the itchy long underwear she was made to wear as a youngster. Sawano remembers how she had thought everyone was being forced into the same long-underwear even when her classmates had long ceased wearing them. This was about the time that a boy classmate called her an “ape-like,

---

<sup>542</sup> Police boxes -- *koban* -- are local urban outposts of larger police stations found frequently in train stations and neighborhood centers in Japan. They are far more accessible and generally less intimidating than larger police stations might be, but they are still part of a greater system of social surveillance and, at times, moral education. A *koban* typically services 0. Leishman, F, “Koban: Neighbourhood Policing in Contemporary Japan,” *Policing* 1 (2007), 196-202

<sup>543</sup> Shono, “Quarrelsome Woman Writer,” 132.

hang-mouthed girl who gives off cooties” and her mother would make comments like “you have a thick neck, so lift up your chin and put this on.”<sup>544</sup>

“Just Ignore this too Difficult-to-Read Quarrelsome Ugly Woman Writers” begins with the narrator chiding herself about always being angry -- “what, you’re angry once again?” reads the opening line – and concludes with reflections about bodily discomfort, physical rejection, and having one’s individuality overwhelmed by the distinction of being quarrelsome and ugly. Shaping both their personal histories and their relationship with each other, it is ugliness that animates the discussion between the narrator and the fictional character of the author. Ugliness and the charged emotions of resentment that it foments propel their dialogue and equip them with the narrative tools to describe the worlds that they inhabit.

### Conclusion

Shono’s texts suggest that channels to multiple metaphysical and ontological realities are kept open by the ways we imagine and experience our bodies. There is an animism at play in her peculiar combinatory framework that locates its power in the implicit knowledge of experience and everyday relations to the surrounding environment. The terms that Shono employs to describe embodiment have a distinctly spiritual valence; *gongen* and the *kami* *kompira*, share a lineage in the Buddhist-Shintō combinatory matrix. *Onnen*, the vengefulness that exceeds the limits of an individual life and takes on its own physical presence, and the fusion of *shūgō*, which is also simultaneously corporeal and exceeds the corporeal, partake of a similar spiritual discourse.

Shono’s narrators respond to the tone of their spiritual and economic environment and resist abstracting the “self” away from diverse modes of relationality. This is why the state promulgated separation of Buddhism and Shino was such an effective policy for

---

<sup>544</sup> *Ibid.*, 129.

the *Kompira*'s writer-narrator to critique; it was a transparent attempt to tame individual conceptions of the cosmos, and to shape citizens who can be best be understood and categorized via their state-defined commonalities. Whereas the premise of a sociological category is that a composite is made up of multiple similar units, Shono's definition of literature --"the largeness which flows from the small 'me'"-- identifies difference as the compelling point of departure. The radical individuality of Shono's ideal is inherently contradictory, as is apparent in her stated goal of a "family god without any collectivity." But how is a "hyper-personal" construct of universal interconnectedness less important, or more redundant, than a one-off singular body that shares most of its involuntary processes and corporeal limits with all other bodies? Why, that is, should we not somehow culturally account for, or understand, the vast array of personal imaginaries in the ways that we do recognize the individual claims to a body? *Kompira*'s writer-narrator feels *iwakan* (uneasiness) in Japan because she experiences her lack of inclusion and assimilation into various social institutions physically as well as emotionally – her body feels much more at ease once she explores the experience of a *kami* whose relations to the imperial logic of Japanese mythology involves a similar lack of consolidation. Literature, here, becomes a site where moments of lived experience are splayed open to find the multiple histories and heterogeneous bodies found there-in. In the last line of the novel the narrator experiences a moment of clarity and gratitude and yells, "To Kumpira, the protector deity of Indian Buddhism, and to all of the small and wild gods that merged with kumpira, and to all the old and extinct gods, I say thank you!"<sup>545</sup>

---

<sup>545</sup> Shono, *Kompira*, 331.

## AFTERWORD

Shono and Tawada have been repeatedly grouped together as contemporary Japanese writers who “battle against language,” and occupy the “language trance zone” on a schematic map of J-literature, and who strive to “provoke a new relationship to language.”<sup>546</sup> This is an accurate initial identification of the similar drives within their disparate projects. The commonalities of their projects, however, extend beyond the crafting of new relations to language to the heightening the corporeal logics at work in language production and reception, and an impetus towards a trans-cultural tracing of historical processes of homogenization. Perhaps it is the development of their projects in the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries -- when more and more of the world is subject to similar and interconnected economic frameworks and when there seems to be no end in sight to the acceleration of World English -- that necessarily leads the exploration of somatic-linguistic relations into dialogue with the economic and linguistic elements of globalization. Certainly, Tawada and Shono’s writing is exemplary of the newly invigorated category of world literature; a literature that takes the greater world into account, questions how we apprehend our worlds, and generates dialogue on various facets of globalization.

The types of scholarly work required to engage with Tawada and Shono’s respective oeuvres, as well as with the analyses of their writings, have helped clarify the differences in their projects. Contextualizing Shono’s writing involves tracking down numerous leads into past and current cultural debates, and tracing historic events as diverse as 19<sup>th</sup> century religious programs and the rise of *anime* and *manga* in postwar Japan. Shono has created a sprawling literary imaginary comprised of the multiple

---

<sup>546</sup> I am referencing the categorizations made in the special issue of *Kokubungaku* “The Current State of Women Writers,” the special issue of *Bungei* “1990s J-Literature,” and *An Introduction to Women’s Literature*, respectively, cited in the introduction.

genres of science fiction, fantasy, autobiography, and non-fiction critique. Not only are the targets of her critique many and varied, but she also pitches her tone somewhere between non-fictional critique and fantasy: as the reader scrambles to follow her vast network of connections, they must also wonder just how literally to take the accounts she provides. Shono is not, after all, a historian -- not even a revisionist one hoping to set records straight. She is a developer of a personal imaginary. What I find in Shono's writing is an invitation to develop as complex and personal a world-view as she has, and a model for how to sustain such an all-encompassing process.

Familiarizing oneself with Tawada's creative world, in certain respects, has been a far more tidy process. Her stories can be more easily read as discrete units that do not require significant topical knowledge, though an understanding of colonialism and current tourism practices is generally assumed. Tawada does not directly criticize individuals nor does she express outrage in a transparent manner. She maintains a consistent focus upon the body's relationship to language. Indeed, some have referred to Tawada's writing as "mono-logic."<sup>547</sup> Throughout her fiction there is the sense of awe that we, as language-users, have only accessed a tiny fraction of the vast array of possibilities for organizing our sensory reception of the world. Tawada's writing strives to bring a visceral experience of that awe into our daily language-use. In reading her fiction one gets the distinct sense that this goal might best be achieved through travel, in all of its varied geographic, temporal and cultural forms.

The repeated drawing inwards towards a space of marvel that occurs in Tawada's texts, pulls the reader in a different direction than does the imperative to keep abreast of current political and cultural machinations found in Shono's texts. The array of homogenizing processes that Shono interrogates is wider; economics, state initiatives and

---

<sup>547</sup> Personal conversation with Tim Van Camperole and Mamiko Suzuki, Takadanobaba, Tokyo, August 16, 2007.

specific acts of male-collusion are the forces against which she deploys her “eternally treasonous spirit of embodiment.” The embodied subjectivities, or psychic corporealities, that are encouraged by these two writers, then, necessarily differ. Shono emphasizes the role of the body as a conduit through which to feel and express one’s own particular resentment, and through which to craft an individual world view. Tawada poses the body that which allows us to break away from tired conventions, to glimpse new possibilities, and to maintain a sense of humility and perspective.

Both writers, however, invoke the body as the site that can sustain a fluid experience of disparate thoughts and feelings at the same time. In their writings it is the nexus of the body and language – where the body is understood as the flux between our corporeal and cognitive selves – that sustains diverse value systems, and the imaging of this nexus bears a tendency towards trans-cultural movement. Tawada’s bodily schemas are often motivated by train travel and linguistic crossings, as in her image of a female Japanese narrator eagerly entering the “male body” of Switzerland’s Mt. Gotthard. Shono’s body-figurations gravitate similarly towards significant geographic or temporal movement; she depicts the kompira-mother staking her tail to her home while forcing her way into an unfriendly congress in a region far away, and creates the kompira-narrator who physically merges with spirits that history has long forgotten. The body, the peculiar object that Grosz defines by its “uncontrollable drift of the inside into the outside, and the outside into the inside,”<sup>548</sup> seems remarkably well-suited for, and inclined towards, figuring difference in a globalizing world.

This re-inscription of libidinal energies into language and a concomitant engagement with global currents is a similar combination to that which I find at play in the works of several, slightly younger, Japanese writers. While perhaps not quite yet a significant trend in terms of quantity, these works do comprise a current in the directional

---

<sup>548</sup> Grosz, 21.

stream of contemporary Japanese literature. It is a current that, along with the expanding recognition of *nihongo-bungaku* -- literature written in Japanese by non-Japanese writers -- moves Japanese literature in a transnational, or trans-cultural, direction. Hoshino Tomoyuki (b. 1966) and Sekiguchi Ryoko (b. 1970), the two younger writers that I will briefly introduce here, have, interestingly, directly engaged with the works of Tawada and Shono. Hoshino is an avid admirer of Shono's writing and has reviewed her fiction in literary journals as well as contributed an article to the special *gendaishisō* issue "Shono Yoriko: The Imaginary to Overcome Neo-Liberalism."<sup>549</sup> His own writing weaves together a critique of the Japanese emperor system, a radically open posture towards other cultures, and a re-casting of the erotic life of the individual away from the confines of permanent-couplings, or even of other people. Hoshino's experience of living in Mexico for three years, and working there as a journalist, clearly informs his imaginary. His 2005 *Alkaloid Lovers*<sup>550</sup> features a narrator whose desire to be planted in the ground and tended to like a plant grew out of his interest in the surrealist movement in Mexico, and, in particular, to a visit to a museum in Mexico where he was entranced by the vegetation he found there.<sup>551</sup> Though Hoshino himself has said that his experimental fiction and his fiction that critiques Japanese nationalism -- as in the recently translated *Lonely Hearts Killer*<sup>552</sup>-- form to two separate and discrete projects,

---

<sup>549</sup> Hoshino, Tomoyuki, "Kanibatto kara no bofū" [The Storm from Kanibatto], *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (2007), 94-97. Hoshino is placed in the same "language trance zone" as Shono and Tawada in the schematic map of J-literature mentioned above.

<sup>550</sup> Hoshino, Tomoyuki. *Arukaroido ravāzu* [Alkaloid Lovers], Tokyo: Shinchōsha, 2005.

<sup>551</sup> Hoshino explores other structures of intimacy, including, for example, the choice of permanent single-hood in *Dokushin Onsen* [Singles' Hotsprings], Tokyo: Kodansha, 2002.

<sup>552</sup> Hoshino, Tomoyuki. *Lonely Hearts Killer*, trans. Adrienne Hurley, Oakland: PM Press, 2009.

placing his works within the group of investments that I find connecting Tawada and Shono's writing might foreground their inter-connectedness.

Sekiguchi Ryoko writes free verse poetry in both French and Japanese and her narrators, much like Tawada's, are frequently travelers fascinated by the strangeness of communicating in a new language. A particular attunement that Sekiguchi shares with Tawada is what she terms "the bilingualism of the latecomer."<sup>553</sup> Sekiguchi's *Futatsu no ichijo, futatabi*<sup>554</sup> [Two Markets, Once Again, 2001] begins with a mistake in reading providing access to a "market" of words and symbols. It is a market that exists in the gap between what you say and what you mean, and it is possible to come to it again and again, for the first time:

Pages the letters fling themselves against which  
could have been traced directly by this firm  
hand, chapters unaware of changes in line or  
punctuation, the act of reading that engenders  
space, that surrounds us.

The exceptional  
intensity in pronouncing the time clause at that  
very moment caused us to whiten immediately,  
alerting us to the error in reading it, but too late,  
this intensity creates here a market instantly, a  
market that had always existed, where we had  
always lived.<sup>555</sup>

Sekiguchi's coming anew to language occurs in the sensual space of the reader-traveler who explores the sights, smells and sensations of a foreign land. She draws a

---

<sup>553</sup> Sekiguchi, Ryoko, "Self-Translation: Or the Artifice of Constraint," in *Four From Japan: Contemporary Poetry and Essays by Women*, trans. Sawako Nakayasu, New York: Litmus Press, 2006, 71-72.

<sup>554</sup> Sekiguchi, Ryoko, *Futatsu no ichijo, futatabi* [Two Markets, Once Again], Tokyo: Shoshi Yamada, 2001 and Sekiguchi, Ryoko, *Deux marches, de niveau*, Paris, P.O.L., 2005.

<sup>555</sup> Ryoko Sekiguchi: *Two Markets, Once Again*, trans. Sarah Riggs (from the French), Sausalito, California, 2008.

connection between an unidentifiable sense of place, and the gap between what one meant, and what one said. As the poem proceeds, all of the five senses are recruited to flesh out the experience of coming to a new language. With such a similar focus on the intersections of physical travel and language-exploration, it seems fitting that Sekiguchi chose to translate into French one of Tawada's lengthy train-travel narratives, *Yōgisha no yakō ressha* [A Fugitive's Night-Time Railway]<sup>556</sup>. Sekiguchi, who has lived in Paris since 1997, also studies and translates Dari, the type of Persian spoken in contemporary Afghanistan.

Depictions of the body are integral to Hoshino and Sekiguchi's writing, as are their exploration of different cultures and different languages. Their cultural exploration is less about an anthropological inquiry into different cultures than it is a process of cultivating imaginaries capable of sustaining difference of many sorts. Such imaginaries must necessarily have their own eros, in that they must remain open to the chaos of difference. Tawada and Shono, and Hoshino and Sekiguchi, are writing a world literature that neither reifies a stereotype of Japanese-ness, nor fits into a colonial/postcolonial matrix, nor implicitly argues for a mini-canon of great works. They are writing literature that seeks to understand how both the borders and the lack of borders shape who we are in the world today.

---

<sup>556</sup> Translated into French as *Train De Nuit Avec Suspects*, Paris: Verdier, 2005.

## REFERENCES

Japanese Language Sources

Akida Jirō, Dekune Taturō, and Hayashi Mariko. “Naoki-sho sakka Akutagawa-sho wo gataru” [Three Naoki-Prize Winners Talk about the Akutagawa Prize]. *Bungeishunjū* 76 (March 1998):370-379.

Aman Katorin. *Yugamushintai:gendai joseisaka henshinkon* [The Distorted Body: Modern Women Writers and Bodily Transformation]. Tokyo: Senshu daigaku shuppan, 2000.

*Bungaku no yami / kindai no "chinmoku"* [Darkness of literature and the "silence" of the modern age]. Nakayama Akihiko, ed. Yokohama: Seori Shobō, 2003.

Ebihara, Ekiko. “Onna no miru yume: Shono Yoriko ‘Suishōseinaiido’ ni okeru kyokushi teki shinwa sekai (sono 1)[female Dreams: Reading Shono Yoriko’s “Super-Private Myth” Depicted in Her Novel Suischo-nai seido]. *Kiyo* 37 [St. Margaret’s Bulletin] (2005): 1-27.

*Gendai josei bungaku wo yomu* [Reading Contemporary Women’s Literature]. Yonaha Keiko, ed. Tokyo: Futabunsha-shuppan, 2006.

Hirata, Yumi. *Josei hyōgen no meiji-shi: Higuchi ichiyō izen* [A History of Women’s Expression in the Meiji Period: Before Higuchi Ichiyō]. Tokyo: Iwanami shoten, 1999.

Hoshino Tomoyuki. “‘Kanibatto’ kara bōfu” [The Storm from *Kanibatto*]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 94-97.

----- . *Arukaroido ravāzu* [Alkaloid Lovers]. Tokyo: Shinchōsha, 2005.

----- . *Dokushin onsen* [Singles’ Hot Springs]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2002.

Hasegawa Junko. “Museiran” [The Unfertilized Egg]. In *Hatsuga* [Burgeoning]. Tokyo: Magajin hausu, 2004. pp. 105-142.

Hasumi Shigehiko and Watanabe Natsumi. “Hajirai no sekushuariti- Matsuura Rieko, Shono Yoriko, Tawada Yoko, soshite Yoshimoto Banana” [Shy Sexuality: Matsuura Rieko, Shono Yoriko, Tawada Yoko, and then Yoshimoto Banana]. *Bungei* 32 (April 1993): 77-91.

Iida, Yuko. “Kotoba toshintai: Tawada Yoko ‘Seijo densetsu’ ‘Hikon’ wo tōshite” [Words and Bodies: Tawada Yoko’s *Tales of a Holy Girl* and *Flying Spirits*]. In *Bungaku no yami / kindai no "chinmoku"* [Darkness of literature and the "silence" of the modern age]. Nakayama Akihiko, ed. Yokohama: Seori shobō, 2003. pp. 3-27.

Ikezawa Natsuki and Tawada Yoko. “Ikezawa Natsuki, Tawada Yoko taidan: Sakka no shishen, kotoba no omowaku” [A Literary Discussion between Ikezawa Natsuki and Tawada

Yoko: A Writer's Line of Vision, the Expectations of Words]. *Subaru* 25 (June 2003):146-155.

Irie Kimiyasu. "Teikō suru 'shūgō' no katachi: arata na mibunsei no naka de inoru" [The Resistant Form of 'Syncretism': Praying inside a New Class System]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 122-127.

Ishihara Shintaro. *Taiyō no kisetsu* [The Season of the Sun]. Tokyo: Shinchōsha, 1956.

Ishizaki Hideyuki and Murakami Kaoru. "Ekusutasu henshūbu" [*Ekusutasu's* Editorial Department]. *Waseda bungaku* 27.5 (September 2002): 67-72.

"Jichitai Dotto com"[Prefectural Organizations dot com]  
[http://www.jichitai.com/link/01\\_012.asp#OBJECT12](http://www.jichitai.com/link/01_012.asp#OBJECT12).

"Junbungaku tozakarū kitai heisoku jōkyō ga hyōgen no kiki wo maneku" [Fading Expectations for Pure Literature – Insular Conditions Beckon a Crisis of Representation]. *Yomiuri Shinbun* 16 April 1998, evening edition.

Karatani Kojin. *Shūen wo megute* [Looking towards the 'End' ]. Tokyo: Fukutake shoten, 1990.

----- *Hanbungakuron* [Against Literature]. Tokyo: Dōjusha, 1979.  
*Karatani kojīn tokushū* [Special Edition: Karatani Kojin]. *Kokubungaku kaishaku to kansho bessatsu* 12.10 (October 1995).

Kawamura Minato. "Karatani Kojin to gendaibungaku" [Karatani Kojin and Contemporary Literature]. *Kokubungaku kaishaku to kansho* (1995): 96-102.

Komatsu Hirō. "Bungaku to taishu" [Literature and the Public]. *Yomiuri shinbun*. 16 January 1962.

Komori Yōichi. *Kōzō toshite no katari* [The Structure of Narrative]. Tokyo: Shin'yōsha, 1988.

Kurihara Yasushi. "Onnen no rōdō: toshi wo tadayō 'se-fu sera-' no hangayku ni mukete" [Labour's Resentment: The Treason of the 'Self-Sellers' who Drift around the City]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 220-227.

Kuroi Senji, Hoshino Tomoyuki and Tsushima Yuuko. "Sōsaku gappyō – 'Kompira' Shono Yoriko" [Joint Literary Review: Shono Yoriko's *Kompira*]. *Gunzō* 59 (May 2004): 398-415

Kuroi Senji, Miura Masashi, and Katō Kōichi. "Sōsaku gappyō 240: 'Gottōharuto tetsudō' Tawada Yoko" [Joint Review: Tawada Yoko's *Gotthard Railroad*]. *Gunzō* 50 (December 1995): 360-380.

Matsumoto Junichiro. “‘Shūgō’ to iu janru?” [‘Syncretism’ as a Genre?]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 148-157.

Matsuura Rieko. *Oya yubi P no shūgyō jidai* [The Apprenticeship Period of Big Toe P]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1993.

Mizushima Nozomi. “Uramizumo no uraji” [Uramizumo’s Lining]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 178-183.

Murata Kiyoko. “Karada” [Body]. In *Bungaku 2004* [Literature 2004], Ed. Nihonbungei kakyōkai. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2004.

----- . “Tosaka” [Cockscomb]. In *12 no toire* [12 Toilets]. Tokyo: Shinchōsha, 1995.

----- . “Hyaku no toire” [One Hundred Toilets]. In *Shiroi yama* [The White Mountain]. Tokyo: Bungeishunjū, 1990.

Muroi Mitsuhiro. “Kaisetsu: Ayashii no aruki miko” [Commentary: The Strange Walking Shamaness]. In *Gottoharuto no tetsudō*. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2005.

----- . “Sōsaku gappyo: ‘Museiran’ Tawada Yoko” [Joint Critique: Tawada Yoko’s ‘The Unfertilized Egg’]. *Gunzo* 50 (February 1995): 402-420.

*Nihon Minzoku Daijiten* [The Encyclopedia of Japanese Folklore]. Tokyo: Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1999.

*Nihon Setsuwa Daijiten* [The Encyclopedia of Japanese Tales]. Tokyo: Bensei shuppan, 2000.

Nihon shadan hōnin jinkenkyō kai (Japan Civil Liberties Union). “Committee on Elimination of Discrimination Against Women, The Third Consideration of Japanese Governmental Report.”  
[http://www.jclu.org.katsudou/seimei\\_ikensho/20030127speech.html](http://www.jclu.org.katsudou/seimei_ikensho/20030127speech.html), 27 January 2003.

*Nihon Bungaku Daijiten*. Fujimura Tsukuru, ed. Tokyo: Shinchōsha, 1937.

*Ni-jū-i seiki bungaku no kōzō – vol.4* [The Structure of 21<sup>st</sup> Century Japanese Literature] vol 4]. Saito Minako, ed. Tokyo: Iwanami shoten, 2001.

“90 nendai J-bungaku mappu” [1990’s J-Literature Map]. *Bungei bessatsu* 29 (August 1998).

Ōe Kenzaburo. “Akutagawa shōsenshyō” [The Akutagawa Prize Selection Commentary]. *Bungeishunjū* (March 1993):418-420.

Okano Yukie. *Onnatachi no kioku: “kindai” no kaitai to josei bungaku* [Women and Memory: the deconstruction of the “modern” and women’s literature]. Tokyo: Sōbunsha shuppan, 2008.

*Orutakarucha: media wakusu* [Alternative Culture: Media Works]. Tokyo: Shufu-no-tomo, 1997.

Otsuka, Eiji. "Furyō no saiken toshite no bungaku" [Literature's Bad Credit]. *Gunzō* 57 (June 2002): 172-184.

----- . "Boku wa mo 'bungaku' wo hikiukeru koto tamerai shimasen" [I will not hesitate to join the literary field]. *Gunzō* 57 (February 2002): 212-231.

----- . *Eto Jun to shojo feminizumu-teki sengo* [Eto Jun and a girl-power like post-war], Tokyo: Chikuma, 2001.

Otsuka Eiji and Osawa N. *Japanomeshon wa naze yabureru ka?* [Why Japanimation Will Go Down]. Tokyo: Kadokawa shoten, 2005.

Otsuka Eiji and Watanabe Naomi. "Kotoba no genzai" [The Current State of Words]. *Gunzō* 57 (March 2002): 138-164.

Saito Minako. *Ninshin Shōsetsu* [The Pregnancy Novel]. Tokyo: Chikuma Shobō, 1994.

Saito Tamaki. *Bungaku no chōkō* [Literature's Symptoms]. Tokyo: Bungeishunjū, 2004.

Sato Izumi. "Shisha wa "kawari ni omoidashite kudasai" to yobikaketa" [The Dead Called Out, "Please Think of us for a Change"]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 110-121.

Sekiguchi, Ryoko. *Futatsu no ichijo, futatabi* [Two Markets, Once Again]. Tokyo: Shoshi Yamada, 2001.

Sengoku Hideo. *Isei bungakuron: ai ga aru no ni* [Theorizing the Opposite Sex: Even Though There is Love]. Kyoto: Mineruva Shobō, 2004.

Shimizu, Honyo. *Tawada Yoko "seijodensetsu" no sekai* [Tawada Yoko: The World of *Seijo Densetsu*]. *Ningen to shakai* 9 (July 1997): 57-71.

Shimizu Yoshinori. *Shono Yoriko: Kokū no senshi* [Shono Yoriko: Warrior of the Air]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 2002.

----- . "Nai' mono-tachi no saishū sensō: Shono Yoriko wa nani to tatakatte-iru no ka?" [The Final War of those with Nothing: What is Shono Yoriko Fighting Against?]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007):128-135.

Shiraishi Yoshiharu. "'Kompira' no mirai, arui wa neoriberizumu no awari wo ikiru tame ni" [*Kompira's* Future, or "To Live Beyond the End of Neo-liberalism"]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 102-109.

Shiraishi Yoshiharu and Ōno Hideshi. *Neo-ribe gendai seikatsu: hihan josetsu* [The Contemporary Neo-Liberal Lifestyle: A Critical Introduction]. Tokyo: Shinhyōron, 2005.

Shono Yoriko. “Interview: Shono Yoriko” [Interview: Shono Yoriko]. *Ronza* 157 (June 2008): 184-196.

----- . “Yoru no kawa wo keshite koenu tame ni” [Don’t Cross over the River of Night]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 85-93.

----- . *Dai-nihhon ronchiku ogeretsuki* [Great Japan: Chronicles of the Vulgar Ronchiku]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2006.

----- . *Dai-nihhon, ontako meiwaku-shi* [Great Japan, The Troublesome History of the Ontako]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2006.

----- . *Ichi, ni, san, shi, kyō wo ikiyou! Narita sanbai* [1,2,3,4, Let’s Live Today! Narita Worship]. Tokyo: Shūeisha, 2006.

----- . “Hangyaku suru eien no gongen tamashii: Kompira bungakuron josetsu” [The Eternally Treasonous Spirit of Embodiment: An Introduction to *Kompira* Literature]. *Waseda Bungaku* 30 (January 2005): 89-99.

----- . *Tettei kōsen! Bunshi no mori* [Fight to the End! Writers in the Woods]. Tokyo: Kawadeshobōsha, 2005.

----- . “Don kihote no henshin bakudan” [Don Quixote’s Return Bomb]. *Waseda bungaku* 30.1 (November 2005): 152-161.

----- . *Kompira* [Kompira]. Tokyo: Shūeisha, 2004.

----- . “Onna, SF, shinwa, junbungaku – atarashii joseibungaku wo tatakaitoru tame ni” [Woman, SF, Myths, Pure-Literature – Fighting for a New Women’s Literature]. *Mita bungaku* 83.77 (Spring 2004): 242-264.

----- . *Suishōnaiseido* [The World of the Crystals]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2003.

----- . “Onna no sakka no i nashi” [No Place for a Woman Writer]. *Gunzō* 57 (February 2002): 200-211.

----- . *Shibuya shoku asagawa* [A Shibuya-colored Shallow River]. Tokyo: Shinchō-sha, 2001.

----- . “Mie-ken no hito ga okoru toki” [When Someone from Mie gets Angry]. *Gunzō* 53 (July 1998): 94-95.

----- . *Sekkyōshi Kanibatto to Hyakku-nin no abunai bijo* [Preacher Kanibatto and One Hundred Dangerous Beauties]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1999.

-----. “Koko nankai-sugi karuku nagashite ne busu no isakai onna yo” [Just Ignore this Ugly Too-Difficult-to-Read Quarrelsome Woman Writer]. *Gunzō* 54 (July 1999):104-133.

-----. *Don Kihote no ronsō* [The Don Quixote Debate]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 1999.

-----. “Saru ni mo wakaru ka Akutagawa-sho“ [Wouldn’t even a Monkey Understand the Akutagawa-Prize?]. *Bungakukai* 52.10 (October 1998): 63-67.

-----. *Haha no hatten* [The Development of my Mother]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1996.

-----. “Taiyō no miko” [Shamaness of the Sun]. *Bungakkukai* (October 1995): 54-84.

Shono Yoriko and Machida Kō. “Kotoba no Kongen” [The Origin of Words]. *Gunzō* 56 (July 2001):120-149.

Shono Yoriko and Matsuura Rieko. *Okaruto dokumi teishoku* [An Occult Poisonous Set-Meal]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōsha, 1994.

Shono Yoriko, Larry McCaffrey and Tatsumi Takayuki. “Waga wa Kompira, haiburiddo kami nishite abuanpopu” [I am Kompira, the Avant-Pop Hybrid God]. *Subaru* 29 (October 2007): 228-245.

Shono Yoriko, Shiraishi Yoshiharu, Irie Kimiyasu, Matsumoto Mari, Mizushima Nozomi, Yabu Shirō, and Yamanote Midori. “Neoribe meiwaku wo kangaeru chakai” [Pondering the Troubles of Neo-liberalism: A Tea Party]. *Gendaishisō* 35.4 (March 2007): 34-63.

Shono Yoriko and Tawada Yoko. “Shono Yoriko, Tawada Yoko, Taidan: Ten no ryū, daichi no hebi” [A Literary Discussion between Shono Yoriko and Tawada Yoko: Heavenly Dragons and Earthly Snakes]. *Bungei* 34.1 (February 1995):140-160.

“Shosetsu kara kiete yuku shakai ningen kigoka danpenka susumi nankai ni” [Human Communication Disappears from difficult-to-read novels as fragmentation continues]. *Asahi shinbun* 10 October 1994.

*Shūkan josei* [Woman’s Weekly]. 11 December 2001.

Sō, Kyōng-sik and Tawada, Yoko. *Sōru Berurin tamatsuki shokan : kyōkaisenjō no taiwa* [Return Post from Seoul to Berlin: A Conversation above the Borderlines]. Tokyo: Iwanami shoten, 2008.

Takagi Nobuko. *Burakkunodei ga sumu ki* [The Tree of the Black Noddy]. Tokyo: *Bungeishunjū*, 1990.

Taneda, Wakako. “Kankei – Tawada Yoko” [Relations -Tawada Yoko]. *Kokubungaku: kaishaku to kansho* 41 (August 1996): 60-61.

- Tawada Yoko. *Katakoto no Uwagoto* [Babbling Delirium]. Tokyo: Seidosha, 2007.
- . *Gottoharuto tetsudo* [The Gotthard Railroad]. In *Gottoharuto no tetsudō*. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2005. pp. 7-48.
- . *Museiran* [The Unfertilized Egg]. In *Gottoharuto no tetsudō*. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2005. pp. 50-141.
- . *Ekusofuoni: bogo no soto e deru tabi* [Exophany: The Travels of Leaving a Mother Tongue]. Tokyo: Iwanami shoten, 2003.
- . *Yōgisha no yakō ressha* [The Fugitive's Night Train]. Tokyo: Seidosha, 2002.
- *Henshin no tame no opiumu* [Opium for Transforming the Body]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2001.
- . *Hikari to zerachin no raipuchihhi* [The Leipzig of Gelatin and Light]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 2000.
- . *Makuragi* [Railroad Ties]. *Shinchō* (January 1999): 54-111.
- . *Moji Ishoku* [Transplanted Letters]. Tokyo: Kawade bunko, 1999.
- . *Hikon* [Flying Sprits]. Tokyo: Kodansha, 1998.
- . *Inumukoiri* [The Bridegroom was a Dog]. Tokyo: Kodansha bunko, 1998.
- . *Futakuchi no otoko* [The Man with Two Mouths]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1998.
- . *Seijo densetsu* [Tales of a Holy Girl]. Tokyo: Ōda Shuppan, 1996.
- . “Ifuku tohsite no nihongo” [Japanese as Clothing]. *Gunzō* 50.4 (1995): 206-211.
- . “Chōkaku to shikaku no dobu wo nozoku – rōdoku to dansu no kyōen” [Peeking into the Gutter between Listening and Seeing]. *Dansu Magajin* (March 1993): 34-49.
- . “Oitachi to iu kyokō” [This Fiction Called ‘Childhood’]. *Bungakukai* 47 (March 1993): 32-37.
- Tokushū: Tawada Yoko* [Special Edition: Tawada Yoko]. *Yurika* 36.14 (December 2004).
- Tomioka Taeko. *Torikakomu ekitai* [The Fluids that Surround]. Tokyo: Chikuma shobō, 1989.
- Tsushima Yuko. *Chōji* [Child of Fortune]. Tokyo: Kawade shobōshinsha, 1978.

Utsumi Noriko. “Tekusuto ni okeru kurosu=jendādo pafuōmansu(2): Shono Yoriko ‘Kompira’ wo chūshin ni” [Cross-gendered performances in texts (2): Shono Yoriko’s *Kompira*]. *F-Gens Frontiers of Gender Studies Journal* 5 (March 2006): 386-393.

Wada Tadahiko. “Tawada Yoko-ron – tokeru chizu matou kotoba” [Tawada Yoko – Maps that Melt, Words you can Wear]. *Bungakukai* 51 (February 1997): 212-223.

Wang Hui. *Shisō kūkan toshite no gendai chūgoku* [Modern China as a Space for Thinking]. Translated by Murata Yujiro, Nasuyama Yukio, and Onodera Shiro. Tokyo: Iwanami shoten, 2006.

Yamagata Hirō. “Shōsetsu no kōyō bunseki to sono mirai wo kangaeru” [Thoughts on the Benefit-Analysis of Novels and its Future]. *Gunzō* 58 (October 2003): 310-315.

Yanagawa Hideo. “Chikyū-teki kadai no jikken mura” to wa “hara hachibu no shisō” [The experimental village in global terms “eats moderately”]. [members2/home.com.ne.jp/jikken-mura/index.htm](http://members2/home.com.ne.jp/jikken-mura/index.htm) (accessed October 23 2008).

“Yōchien ni kaerō – Back to the Kindergarten” [Let’s Return to Kindergarten]. *X+ ekusutasu* 1 (September 2001):14-17.

Yonaha Keiko. “Shūgo suru gatari” [Syncretic Narration]. *Bungei* 44 (Winter 2007): 94-98.

-----. “Shinwa•jenda- to no tataikai” [A Struggle between Myths and Gender]. In *Gendai josei bungaku wo yomu* [Reading Contemporary Women’s Literature]. Yonaha Keiko, ed. Tokyo: Futabunsha-shuppan, 2006. pp. 124-132.

-----. “Tawada Yoko no gengo sekai: e-teru-tekishintai-ron [The discursive world of Tawada Yoko: The Theory of an Ether-like Body]. *Kokubungaku kaishaku to kansho bessatsu* (March 2004): 197-209.

-----. “Gendai sakka” [Contemporary Writers]. In *Josei bungaku wo manabu hito no tame* [The Study of Women’s Literature]. Tokyo: Sekaishisōsha, 2000. pp. 192-198.

### Non-Japanese Sources

Azuma Hiroki. *Otaku: Japan’s Database Animals*. Trans. Jonathan Abel and Shion Kono. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2009.

Arens, Hiltrud. “Das kurze Leuchten unter dem Tor oder auf dem Weg nur geträumten Sprache: Poetological Reflections in Works by Tawada Yoko.” In *Tawada Yoko: Voices From Everywhere*. Doug Slaymaker, ed. Lanham, Kentucky: Lexington Books, 2007. pp. 59-76.

Banoun, Bernard. "Words and Roots: The Loss of the Familiar in the Works of Yoko Tawada." In *Tawada Yoko: Voices From Everywhere*. Doug Slaymaker, ed. Lanham, Kentucky: Lexington Books, 2007. pp. 125-136.

Barad, Karen. "Getting Real: technoscientific practices and the materialization of reality." In *Differences: a journal of feminist cultural studies* 10.2 (1998): 87-128.

Bataille, George. *Visions of Excess: selected writings, 1927-1939*. Trans. Alan Stoekl. Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press, 1985.

*Bits of life: Feminism at the Intersections of Media, Bioscience, and Technology*. Nina Lykke and Anneke Smelik, eds. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 2008.

Borges, Jorge Luis. "Avatars of the Tortoise." In *Other Inquisitions 1937-1952*. Trans. Ruth L.C. Simms. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1964.

Bourdieu, Pierre. *Contre-feux 2: Pour un mouvement européen*. Paris: Editions Raisons d'Agir, 2001.

Braidotti Rosi. "Becoming Woman: Or Sexual Difference Revisited." *Theory, Culture, Society* 20.3 (2003): 43-64.

----- . *Nomadic Subjects: Embodiment and Sexual Difference in Contemporary Feminist Theory*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1994.

----- . *Patterns of Dissonance: A Study of Women in Contemporary Philosophy*. New York: Routledge, 1991.

Brandt, Bettina. "Scattered Leaves: Artist Books and Migration, a Conversation with Yoko Tawada." *Comparative Literature Studies* 45/1 (2008): 12-22.

----- . "The Unknown Character: Traces of the Surreal in Yoko Tawada's Writing." In *Tawada Yoko: Voices From Everywhere*. Doug Slaymaker, ed. Lanham, Kentucky: Lexington Books, 2007. pp. 111-124.

Brasor, Philip. "Little Progress on Japanese Gender Equality." *The Japan Times* 13 February 2005.

*Buddhas and kami in Japan: Honji Suijaku as a Combinatory Paradigm*. Mark Teeuwen and Fabio Rambelli, eds. London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2003.

*The Buddhist Dead: Practices, Discourses, Representations*. Bryan J. Cuevas and Jacqueline Ilyse Stone, eds. Honolulu: The Kuroda Institute/University of Hawai'i Press, 2007.

Bullock, Julia. *The Other Women's Lib: Gender and Body in Japanese Women's Fiction, 1960-1973*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, forthcoming.

Buruma, Ian. *A Japanese Mirror*. London: Random House, 1984.

Butler, Judith. *Bodies that Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex."* New York: Routledge, 1993.

----- . *Gender trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. New York: Routledge, 1990.

Champagne, Patrick. *Faire l'opinion: le nouvel espace politique*. Paris: Editions de minuit, 1979.

*Comparative Literature Studies* "Special Issue: Globalization and World Literature" 41.1 (2004).

Delueze, Gilles. *Francis Bacon: The Logic of Sensation*. Trans. Daniel W. Smith. New York: Continuum, 2003.

Deleuze, Gilles and Guattari, Félix. *The Logic of Sense*. Trans. Charles Stivale. New York: University of Columbia Press, 1990.

----- . *A thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*. Trans. Brian Massumi. London: Athlone Press, 1987.

----- . *Kafka : Toward a Minor Literature*. Trans. Dana Polan. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1986.

Diome, Fatou. *La Préférence nationale*. Paris: Présence africaine, 2001.

Duden, Anne. *The Wound of the Alphabet* "Der wunde Punkt im Alphabet." In *Der wunde Punkt im Alphabet*. Hamburg: Rotbuch Verlag, 1995. pp. 77-84.

Dianotto, Robert M. *Place in Literature: Regions, Cultures, Communities*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2000.

Dinshaw, Carolyn. *Getting Medieval: Sexualities and Communities, Pre- and Post-Modern*. Durham: Duke University Press, 1999.

Douglas, Mary. *Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo*. New York: Praeger, 1966.

Ericson, Joan. *Be a Woman: Hayashi Fumiko and Modern Japanese Women's Literature*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1997.

Gramsci, Antonio. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. Trans. Quintin Hoare and Geoffrey Nowell Smith. New York: The International Publishers, 1971.

- Ferré Rosario. *The Youngest Doll*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1991.
- Flores, Linda Marie. "Writing the Body: Maternal Subjectivity in the Works of Hirabayashi Taiko, Enchi Fumiko, and Oba Minako." Unpublished dissertation. University of California, Los Angeles, 2005.
- Gallop, Jane. *Thinking Through the Body*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1988.
- Global/Local: Cultural Production and the Transnational Imaginary*. Rob Wilson and Wimal Dissanayake, eds. Durham: Duke University Press, 1996.
- Grosz, Elizabeth. *Volatile Bodies: Towards a Corporeal Feminism*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1994.
- Harraway, Donna. "A Game of Cat's Cradle: Science Studies, Feminist Theory, Cultural Studies." *Configurations* 2.1 (1994): 59-71.
- "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective." *Feminist Studies* 14.3 (Fall 1988): 579-599.
- Harvey, David. *The Position of Post-Modernity: An Inquiry into the Origins of Cultural Change*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Basil Blackwell, 1989.
- "From Space to Place and Back Again: Reflections on the Condition of Postmodernity" in *Mapping the Futures*. Jon Bird, ed. London: Routledge, 1993. pp. 2-29.
- *A Brief History of Neo-Liberalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- Higashi, Julie. "The *Kokoro* Education: Landscaping the Minds and Hearts of Japanese." In *Social Education in Asia: Critical Issues and Multiple Perspectives*. David Grossman and Joe Tin-Yau Lo, eds. Charlotte, North Carolina: Information Age Publishing, 2008.
- Higuchi, Ichiyō. *Takekurabe* [trans. as "Child's Play"], In Danly, Robert Lyons, *In the Shade of Spring Leaves: The Life and Writings of Higuchi Ichiyō, a Woman of Letters in Meiji Japan*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1981. pp. 254-287.
- Hoshino Tomoyuki. *Lonely Hearts Killer*. Trans. Adrienne Hurley. Oakland: PM Press, 2009.
- Imai Yasuko. "The Emergence of the Japanese *Shufu* - Why a *Shufu* Is More Than a 'housewife'." Trans Lili Iriye Selden. *U.S.-Japan Women's Journal* 6 (1994): 45-65.
- Ito, Masami. "Strict rules in play to keep campaigning above board." *The Japan Times* 4 August 2009.

Irigaray, Luce. *I love to you: Sketch for a Felicity within History*. Trans. Alison Martine. New York: Routledge, 1996.

----- . *An Ethics of Sexual Difference*. Trans. Carolyn Burke and Gillian C. Gill. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1993.

----- . *Speculum of the Other Woman*. Trans. Gillian C. Gill. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1985.

----- . *This Sex which is not One*. Trans. Catherine Porter. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1985.

“Japan Post Privatization Raises Competition Concerns.” *The New York Times* 27 March 2007.

“Japan Shifts Course in Halting Postal Sale.” *The Wall Street Journal* 5 December 2009.

Jay, Martin. *Downcast Eyes: The Denigration of Vision in 20<sup>th</sup> century French Thought*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993.

Jones, Gretchen. “Subversive Strategies: Masochism, Gender and Power in Kono Taeko’s *Toddler Hunting*.” *East Asia* 16:4 (2000): 79-107.

Karatani, Kojin. *Origins of Modern Japanese Literature*. Trans. Brett de Bary. Durham: Duke University Press, 1993.

Kirino, Natsuo. *The Real World*. Trans. Philip Gabriel. New York: Vintage International, 2009.

----- . *Grotesque*. Trans. Rebecca Copeland. New York: Vintage International, 2007.

----- . *OUT*. Trans. Steven Schnyder. New York: Vintage International, 2005.

*Kodansha’s Dictionary of Basic Japanese Idioms*. Jeff Garrison et al, eds. Tokyo: Kodansha International Ltd, 2002.

Kristeva, Julia. *Powers of Horror: An Essay on Abjection*. Trans. Leon Roudiez. New York: Columbia University Press, 1982.

Lacan, Jacques. *The Seminar of Jacques Lacan*. London: Cambridge University Press, 1988.

Lamarre, Thomas. “Otaku Movement.” In *Japan after Japan: Rethinking the Nation in an Age of Recession*. Tomika Yoda and H.D. Haratoonian, eds. Durham: Duke University Press, 2006. pp. 368-394.

Laqueur, Thomas. *Making Sex: Body and Gender from the Greeks to Freud*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1990.

Lebra, Takie Sugiyama. *Japanese Patterns of Behavior*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1976.

Leishman, F. "Koban: Neighbourhood Policing in Contemporary Japan." *Policing* 1 (2007):196-202.

*Lives in Translation: Bilingual Writers on Identity and Creativity*. Isabelle de Courtivron, ed. New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2003.

Lorraine, Tasmin. *Irigaray and Deleuze: Experiments in Visceral Philosophy*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1999.

Lowell, Percival. *Occult Japan: Shinto, Shamanism, and the Way of the Gods*. Vermont: Inner Traditions International, c1990.

*Material Feminisms*. Stacy Alaimo and Susan Hekman, eds. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2008.

McCormack, Gavan. *Client State: Japan in the American Embrace*. London: Verso, 2007.

McNeil, Maureen. *Feminist Cultural Studies of Science and Technology*. London: Routledge, 2007.

Metzinger, Thomas. *The Ego Tunnel: The Science of the Mind and the Myth of the Self*. New York: Basic Books, 2009.

Mitsutani, Margaret. "Afterword." In Yoko Tawada, *Facing the Bridge*. Trans. Margaret Mitsutani. New York: New Directions, 2007. pp. 77-86.

Morretti, Franco. "Conjectures on World Literature." *New Left Review* 1 (January 2000): <http://www.newleftreview.org/?issue=235>.

*Multicultural Writers since 1945: An A to Z Guide*. Alba Amoia and Bettina L. Knapp, eds. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 2004.

Piggott, Joan R. "Sacral Kinship and Confederacy in Early Izumo." *Monumenta Nipponica* 44.1 (Spring 1989): 45-74.

Ochiagha, Terri. "Selected Bibliography of Studies on the Fantastic in Literature." *CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture* 10.4 (2008): <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/clcweb/vol10/iss4/11>.

Orpett-Long, Susan. "Nurturing and Femininity: The Ideal of Care-giving in Postwar Japan." In *Re-imagining Japanese Women*. Anne Imamura, ed. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994. pp.156-176.

*Rethinking Europe: Literature and (Trans)national Identity.* Nele Bemong, Mirjam Truwant, and Pieter Vemeulen, eds. Amsterdam: Rodopi, 2008.

Schiebinger, Londa. "Skeletons in the Closet: The First Illustrations of the Female Skeleton in the 19<sup>th</sup> century Anatomy." *Representations* 14 (1986): 42-83.

Seaman, Amanda. *Bodies of Evidence: Women, Society, and Detective Fiction in 1990s Japan.* Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2004.

Seidensticker, Edward. "The "Pure" and the "In-Between" in Modern Japanese Theories of the Novel." *Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies* (1966): 174-186.

Sekiguchi, Ryoko. *Two Markets, Once Again.* Trans. Sarah Riggs. Sausalito, California: The Post-Apollo Press, 2008.

----- . Deux marches de niveau. Paris: P.O.L., 2005.

----- . "L'auto traduction ou l'artifice de la contrainte." *Poésie* n°100 (2002): 36-40.

Shoko, M. "Japan's Education Law Reform and the Hearts of Children." *The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus* (December 2006).

Slaymaker, Doug. *The Body in Post-War Japanese Fiction.* New York: Routledge, 2004.

Spival, Gayatri. "In a Word. Interview with Ellen Rooney." *Differences* 1 (February 1989):124-156.

Soseki, Natsume. *Kokoro: A Novel.* Trans. Edwin McClellan. Washington D.C.: Regnery Gateway, 1989.

Stiglitz, Joseph. *The Roaring Nineties: A New History of the World's Most Prosperous Decade.* New York: W.W. Norton and Company, 2003.

Suga, Keijiro. "Translation, Exophany, Omniphony." In *Tawada Yoko: Voices From Everywhere.* Doug Slaymaker, ed. Lanham, Kentucky: Lexington Books, 2007. pp. 21-34.

Tatsumi, Takayuki. *Robot Ghosts and Wired Dreams: Japanese Science Fiction from Origins to Anime.* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2007.

Tawada, Yoko. *Facing the Bridge.* Trans. Margaret Mitsutani. New York: New Directions, 2007.

----- *Where Europe Begins.* Trans. Susan Bernofsky and Yumi Selden. New York: New Directions, 2007.

----- . *Train De Nuit Avec Suspects.* Trans. Ryoko Sekiguchi and Bernard Banoun. Paris: Verdier, 2005.

----- *Verwandlungen* [Metamorphoses]. Tübingen, Germany: Konkursbuch Verlag Claudia Gehrke, 2002.

-----. *The Bridegroom was a Dog*. Trans. Margaret Mitsutani. New York: Kodansha International, 1998.

-----. *Ein Gast* [A Guest]. Tübingen, Germany: Konkursbuch Verlag, 1993.

*Tawada Yoko: Voices from Everywhere*. Doug Slaymaker, ed. Lanham, Kentucky: Lexington Books, 2007.

Thal, Sarah. *Rearranging the Landscape of the Gods: The Politics of a Pilgrimage Site in Japan, 1573-1912*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.

Tierney, Robin. "How Housewives Shatter a Narrative: Tawada Yoko's *The Bridegroom was a Dog*." In *PAJLS* (Proceedings of the Association of Japanese Literary Studies) 5 (Summer 2004): 36-49.

"Towards a World Literature." Tahar Barbery, Ben Jelloun, Alain Borer, Roland Brival et al. *World Literature Today* 83.2 (March 2009): 54-57.

UCLA Research on Asia <http://www.international.ucla.edu/eas/thisweek/06-02.htm>

Uno, Kathleen. *Passages to Modernity: Motherhood, Childhood and Social Reform in Early Twentieth Century Japan*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1999.

Wicks, Robert. "Literary Truth as Dreamlike Expression in Foucault's and Borges's "Chinese Encyclopedia." *Philosophy and Literature* 27.1 (2003): 80-97.

Wittgenstein, Ludwig. *Philosophical Investigations : The German Text, with a Revised English Translation*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell, 2001.

*Woman Critiqued: Translated Essays on Japanese Women's Writing*. Rebecca Copeland, ed. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2006.

*The Woman's Hand: Gender and Theory in Japanese Woman's Writing*. Paul Schalow and Janet Walker, eds. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1996.

*World Bank Literature*. Amtiva Kumar, ed. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2003.

Žižek, Slavoj, *How to Read Lacan*, "Lacan with Eyes Wide Shut," <http://www.lacan.com/zizhowto.html>

-----. *The Plague of Fantasies*. London: Verso, 1997.